



## Agenda Item Summary

---

**File #: 25-00827**

**Agenda Date: 11/4/2025**

---

**Agenda Item Name:**

**Z25-000018 Unified Land Development Code Amendments for Livestock and Poultry First of two public hearings**

**Presenter:**

Angeline Jacobs, AICP Planner III Growth Management

**Description:**

First of two hearings for proposed amendments to the Unified Land Development Code (ULDC) for allowances and standards for poultry allowed in single-family residential zoning districts ULDC Sec. 404.13.

**Recommended Action:**

Hear Presentation and approve the request to advertise for the second hearing for adoption of County-initiated amendments to the Unified Land Development Code for ULDC Sec. 404.13.

**Prior Board Motions:**

On June 3, 2025 at the special policy meeting the Board of County Commissioners (BoCC) reviewed the current ULDC language in Sec. 404.13 and motioned for staff to revise the language to allow 10 hens or ducks in the urban cluster and parcels one acre or less and propose allowances on parcels greater than one acre outside the urban cluster.

On July 15, 2025, at the regular Rural Concerns Advisory Committee meeting, staff presented a draft of the proposed ULDC language and received input that shaped the currently proposed language.

On September 23, 2025, at the regular BoCC meeting approved by consent the request to advertise the County-initiated amendments to the ULDC Sec. 404.13.

**Fiscal Note:**

There is no fiscal impact at this time, the advertisement, if approved, will be published on the County's "Public Notices" page.

**Strategic Guide:**

All Other Mandatory and Discretionary Services

**Background:**

**Chicken Allowances in Single-Family Zoning Districts:** Earlier this year, residents voiced concerns to the County Commission and the Rural Concerns Advisory Committee about limits on the number of

chickens allowed in single-family zoning districts within unincorporated areas. In response, staff reviewed the current regulations and proposed draft language for the ULDC. The proposed amendment is not more restrictive or burdensome than the existing rules.