

V. Item B

MAY 21, 2025 - MEETING SUMMARY

Meeting:	Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area Meeting		
Group:	Citizens Advisory Committee		
	Wednesday, May 21st, 2025 7:00pm		

	Agenda Item	Steiner	Levy	Dondanville	Harrar	Escalante	Pass/Fail
1&11	Call to Order and Chair Announcements						
	Notes: Chair Steiner called the meeting to order at 7:07					,	
Ш	Roll Call and Quorum					\boxtimes	⊠ Quorum
	Notes:						
IV	Agenda Review and Approval	□ M □ S □ Y □ N	□ M □ S □ Y □ N	□ M □ S □ Y □ N	□ M⋈ S□ Y□ N	⋈ M□ S□ Y□ N	☑ Pass☐ Fail☑ Unanimous
	Notes: modify the agenda						
V.	Approval of Minutes	□ M□ S□ Y□ N	⋈ M□ S□ Y□ N	□ M □ S □ Y □ N	□ M⋈ S□ Y□ N	□ M □ S □ Y □ N	☑ Pass☐ Fail☑ Unanimous
	Notes:						
VI.	Consent Agenda – N/A	□ M □ S	□ M □ S	□ M □ S	□ M □ S	□ M □ S	☐ Pass☐ Fail☐ Unanimous



Metropolitan Transportation Planning OrganizationFor the Gainesville and Alachua County Area

			_				
		□ Y	□ Y	□ Y	□ Y	□ Y	
		\square N	\square N	\square N	\square N	\square N	
	Notes:						
VII.A.	Action Item: FY2025/26 through FY2029/30 Transportation	\square M	□М	\square M	\square M	\bowtie M	⊠ Pass
	Improvement Program	□S	⊠S	□S	□S	□S	☐ Fail
	Provide recommendations for full board consideration. Staff will present	□Y	□Y	□Y	□Y	□Y	□ Unanimous
	the TIP update followed by a period for public comment.	 □ N	 □ N	□ N	 □ N	 □ N	_ criariiirious
	the The apartic followed by a period for public comment.						
	Notes: Escalante asked about Glen Springs projects						
VII.B.	Action Item: Long-Range Transportation Plan - Needs Plan	\square M	\square M	\square M	$\boxtimes M$	\square M	☐ Pass
	Motion: Approval of the LRTP Needs Plan for inclusion in the LRTP	□S	⊠S	□S	□S	□S	☐ Fail
	update to be adopted in August	⊠Y	⊠Y	□Y	⊠Y	□Y	☐ Unanimous
		□N	□N	\square N	\square N	⊠N	
	Notes: Srin provided overview of the LRTP process, LRTP goal development of provided overview of the process for the peads assessment and sessing methods.		_			•	, ,
	provided overview of the process for the needs assessment and scoring meth					-	
	Mike E. asked if 2050 mirrored the 2045 LRTP. Srin noted there was an incre						
	E. asked if micromobility was incorporated into the analysis. Occurring in both dedicated and general-purpose lanes. Also asked about						
	transit box funds for implementing TDP and funding for bike plan. Noted plans must be revenue sensitive. Srin noted they are all						
	consider in the multimodal needs. Leyi indicated that micromobility was considered in vulnerable road users. Mike E. Commented on						
	post-covid impacts on commutes. Did resultant infill impact TAZs. Srin indicated that it did. Harrar asked about the tables and whether					bles and whether	
	it indicated priority. Leyi responded that it was not purely a prioritization yet.						
	Ch Steiner asked about the impact of going county-wide. Srin noted it didn't change the process but added participation and projects						
	considered. Leyi indicated there were more agency projects identified in the needs plan. SIS projects were included before. (e.g. 75).						
	Steiner asked about small cities. Srin indicated they did provide some projects. Steiner questioned equating economic vitality with						
	mobility and not multimodal. Should include accessibility in activity centers and employment. Srin/Leyi – they did consider accessibility						
	in low-income areas. The TAC recommendation to remove certain project from the E+C if not funded in 5 years for construction. And						
	certain project that were include in the needs list were in city plan but county roads not in the county plans that would be removed.						
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
	Brad and Srin explained the recommendation of the TAC. Escalante offered motion with addition of consideration of boxed funds.						
	Harrar recommended substitute motion of approval of list with revisions as	recomm	ended by	the TA	C		
			_				
VII.C.	Action Item: GMTPO SU Funding Plan	□ M	⊠M	□M	□ M	□М	⊠ Pass
		\square S	□S	\square S	\square S	$\boxtimes S$	☐ Fail



Metropolitan Transportation Planning OrganizationFor the Gainesville and Alachua County Area

	Approval of the plan for suballocation of urban area funding under the	□Y	□Y	□ Y	□ Y	□ Y	□ Unanimous
	Surface Transportation Block Grant program	\square N	\square N	\square N	\square N	\square N	
	Notes: Escalante – questions existing project	1	1	T	T	T	
VII.D.	Action Item: ICAR	\square M	□M	\square M	\square M	\square M	☐ Pass
		□S	□S	\square S	□S	□S	☐ Fail
	Move to Information in agenda review	□ Y	□ Y	□ Y	□ Y	□ Y	☐ Unanimous
		\square N	\square N	\square N	\square N	\square N	
	Notes:						
VII.E.	Action Item: Transportation Improvement Program Amendment – SR20	\square M	\square M	\square M	\square M	$\boxtimes M$	□ Pass
	(East University Ave) from SR24 (NE Waldo Ave) to SR26 (East University	□S	⊠S	\square S	□S	□S	☐ Fail
	Ave)	□ Y	□ Y	\square Y	□ Y	□ Y	□ Unanimous
	Motion: Amendment to MTPO Fiscal Years 2024-25 to 2028-29	\square N	\square N	\square N	\square N	\square N	
	Transportation Improvement Program to include funding in FY2026 for the						
	resurfacing of SR 20 (East University Ave) from SR24 (NE Waldo Ave) to						
	SR26 (East University Ave).						
	Notes:		ı		ı	I	
VII.F.	Action Item: Transportation Improvement Program Amendment – SR26	□М	□М	\square M	□M	$\boxtimes M$	□ Pass
	(West University Ave) from Gale Lemerand Drive to SR24 (NE Waldo	□S	⊠S	\square S	□S	□S	☐ Fail
	Ave)	□ Y	□Y	□ Y	□ Y	□ Y	□ Unanimous
	Motion: Amendment to MTPO Fiscal Years 2024-25 to 2028-29	□N	□N	\square N	□N	□N	
	<i>Transportation Improvement Program to include funding in FY2026 for the</i>						
	resurfacing of SR 26 (West University Ave) from Gale Lemerand Drive to						
	SR24 (NE Waldo Ave).						
	Natar						
VIII.A.	Notes:						
VIII.A.	Information Item - Establishment Interlocal Agreement	wlocal A	~ w ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	+:11 b.	onastod	hu all m	anahara of tha
	Updated Establishment Interlocal Agreement for review and comments. Inte	riocai Ag	jreemen	ı wili be	епастеа	by all m	embers of the
	MTPO for the Gainesville Alachua County Area						
	Notes:						
VIII.B.	Information Item: MTPO Bylaws						
	MTPO Bylaws will be adopted once the Interlocal Agreement is enacted						



Metropolitan Transportation Planning OrganizationFor the Gainesville and Alachua County Area

	Notes:						
VIII.C.	VIII.C. Information Item: Bicycle/Pedestrian Plan Update The City of Gainesville is currently updating their bicycle/pedestrian plan. The Existing Conditions report is provided for review.						
	Notes: Alison Moss provided a presentation on the Alachua County bike-ped plan. Mr. Levy asked for clarification on maps. There was						
	discussion about developer reviews. Mike E. commented on the transportation challenged, bike and sidewalk gaps and access to transit.						
	Should be considered in prioritization especially if it generates access to employment. Steiner noted that there was no mentioned on						
	first mile last mile. Alison responded this will be part of prioritization/scoring.						
XI	Member Comments						
	Notes:						
	Chair Steiner adjourned the meeting at 9:08						
XII	Public Comments						
Name		Notes					
Name		Notes					
Name		Notes					
Name		Notes					