

V. Item C



MAY 28, 2025 - LONG RANGE TRANSPORTATION PLAN - NEEDS PLAN

Meeting: Metropolitan Transportation Planning Organization for the Gainesville Urbanized Area Meeting

Group: Technical Advisory Committee Working Group

Topic: Long Range Transportation Plan – Needs Plan Discussion

Location and Time Gainesville RTS

2nd Floor Conference Room

Regional Transit System (RTS) Building, 34 SE 13th Road, Gainesville, Florida

3:00 PM

Key Updates and Discussion Points

1. Project List Revisions

- **Srin** reviewed the updated project list.
- Projects were reorganized for clarity—roadway and connectivity projects were merged into a unified list.
- Project details (e.g., names, limits, from/to points) were verified and updated for accuracy.
- Some projects were reclassified, such as the 16th Ave project between 12th Street and Main Street, which was moved from
 a capacity project to a multimodal complete streets project.
- **Projects 26 and 27**, which are already constructed, were removed.
- The list is now more **contiguous**, with gaps only for constructed or non-federally funded projects.

2. Project Mapping and Network Gaps

- Srin presented the updated **project map**.
- Alison pointed out segments showing Volume-to-Capacity (V/C) ratios exceeding 1.2, indicating high congestion.
- Some of these segments are not covered by needs projects, but **parallel corridors** may provide relief.
- Alison noted that orange segments on the map indicate these high-V/C areas without direct project coverage.
- Srin clarified that these gaps may be addressed through parallel improvements.



3. Multimodal Project Diversity & Prioritization

- **Debbie** observed a wide range in the **scale of multimodal projects**, raising concerns about how to handle them in the **Cost Feasible Plan**.
- Srin acknowledged this and emphasized the importance of sidewalk and safety improvements.
- He suggested developing a **prioritization framework** so that small-scale projects like sidewalks can compete fairly with larger-scale projects like complete streets.

4. Alignment with Other Plans

- Alison noted she had not yet compared the updated list to the County Mobility Plan or the Capital Improvement Plan (CIP), but the new version appears more digestible.
- She guestioned whether any projects were filtered out.
- Lai responded that they received shapefiles from the mobility plan and only excluded projects not on the federal aid network.

5. Corridor Considerations & Data Gaps

- Alison mentioned the **North-South corridors** (e.g., Tower Road, 74th Street, 91st Street), noting that some projects may be missing or incomplete.
- She requested a different forum to provide more detailed comments on gaps like **91st Street**.
- Srin confirmed their intention to **finalize graphics and update the executive summary** for the upcoming board meeting.

6. Timeline and Deliverables

- Brad said the board meeting is Monday, and updated documents need to be posted by tomorrow.
- **Alison** committed to submitting as much feedback as possible by then.
- Srin and Lai offered to incorporate those edits immediately.
- Lai shared the screen to review the data received and confirmed **some visible gaps** were within identified roadways but not reflected in the data.

Action Items:

- Alison will provide feedback on missing or incomplete projects by tomorrow.
- Srin and Lai will finalize maps, tables, and the executive summary for board adoption.
- Team to clarify and reconcile any gaps with mobility plan data, particularly along North-South corridors.