



ALACHUA COUNTY

Budget and Fiscal Services

Procurement

Theodore "TJ" White, Jr. CPPB
Procurement Manager

Thomas J. Rouse
Contracts Supervisor

Darryl R. Kight, CPPB
Procurement Supervisor

September 12, 2023

MEMORANDUM

TO: Theodore "TJ" White, Jr. CPPB, Procurement Manager

FROM: Darryl R. Kight, CPPB, Procurement Supervisor 

SUBJECT: INTENT TO AWARD
RFP 23-434-DK Parking Structure for the New Alachua County Court Complex

Solicitation Opening Date: 2:00 PM, Wednesday, August 16, 2023
Solicitation Notifications View Count: 910 Vendors
Solicitations Downloaded by: 50 Vendors
Solicitations Submissions: 6 Vendors

Firms:

Ajax Building Company, LLC
Gainesville, FL 32343

Charles Perry Partners, Inc.
Gainesville, FL 32606

Finfrock Construction, LLC
Apopka, FL 32703

Kokolakis Contracting, Inc.
Tarpon Springs, FL 34689

Parrish McCall Constructors, Inc.
Gainesville, FL 32608

Collage Design and Construction Group, Inc.
Lake Mary, FL 32746

RECOMMENDATION:

The board approve the Evaluation Committee's award ranking below for RFP 23-434-DK Parking Structure for the New Alachua County Court Complex.

1. Finfrock Construction, LLC
2. Collage Design and Construction Group, Inc.
3. Parrish McCall Constructors, Inc.
4. Charles Perry Partners, Inc.
5. Ajax Building Company, LLC
6. Kokolakis Contracting, Inc.

Approve the above ranking and authorize staff to negotiate agreement with top ranked firm, Finfrock Construction, LLC. Should staff be unable to negotiate a satisfactory agreement with the top ranked firm, negotiations with the second ranked firm may be undertaken in the same manner in order of ranking until an agreement is reached, and so forth.

The actual RFP award is subject to the appropriate signature authority identified in the Procurement Code.

TJ White

Sep 18, 2023

Approved
Theodore "TJ" White, Jr., CPPB
Procurement Manager

Date

Disapproved
Theodore "TJ" White, Jr., CPPB
Procurement Manager

MM

Vendor Complaints or Grievances; Right to Protest

Unless otherwise governed by state or Federal law, this part shall govern the protest and appeal of Procurement decisions by the County. As used in Part A of Article 9 of the Procurement Code, the term “Bidder” includes anyone that submits a response to an invitation to bid or one who makes an offer in response to a solicitation (e.g., ITB, RFP, ITN), and is not limited solely to one that submits a bid in response to an Invitation to Bid (ITB).

- (1) *Notice of Solicitations and Awards.* The County shall provide notice of all solicitations and awards by electronic posting in accordance with the procedures and Florida law.
- (2) *Solicitation Protest.* Any prospective Bidder may file a solicitation protest concerning a solicitation.
 - (a) *Basis of the Solicitation Protest:* The alleged basis for a solicitation protest shall be limited to the following:
 - i. The terms, conditions or specifications of the solicitation are in violation of, or are inconsistent with this Code, Florida Statutes, County procedures and policies, or the terms of the solicitation at issue, including but not limited to the method of evaluating, ranking or awarding of the solicitation, reserving rights of further negotiations, or modifying or amending any resulting contract; or
 - ii. The solicitation instructions are unclear or contradictory.
 - (b) *Timing and Content of the Solicitation Protest:* The solicitation protest must be in writing and must be received by the Procurement Manager, twhite@alachuacounty.us by no later than the solicitation’s question submission deadline. Failure to timely file a solicitation protest shall constitute a total and complete waiver of the Bidder’s right to protest or appeal any solicitation defects, and shall bar the Bidder from subsequently raising such solicitation defects in any subsequent Award Protest, if any, or any other administrative or legal proceeding. In the event a solicitation protest is timely filed, the protesting party shall be deemed to have waived any and all solicitation defects that were not timely alleged in the protesting party’s solicitation protest, and the protesting party shall be forever barred from subsequently raising or appealing said solicitation defects in a subsequent award protest, if any, or any other administrative or legal proceeding. The solicitation protest must include, at a minimum, the following information:
 - i. The name, address, e-mail and telephone number of the protesting party;
 - ii. The solicitation number and title;
 - iii. Information sufficient to establish that the protesting party has legal standing to file the solicitation Protest because:
 1. It has a substantial interest in and is aggrieved in connection with the solicitation; and
 2. That the protesting party is responsive, in accordance with the criteria set forth in the solicitation, unless the basis for the Solicitation Protest alleges that the criteria set forth in the solicitation is defective, in which case the protesting party must demonstrate that it is responsive in accordance with the criteria that the protesting party alleges should be used;
 - iv. A detailed statement of the basis for the protest;
 - v. References to section of the Code, Florida Statutes, County policies or procedure or solicitation term that the protesting party alleges have been violated by the County or that entitles the protesting party to the relief requested;
 - vi. All supporting evidence or documents that substantiate the protesting party’s alleged basis for the protest; and
 - vii. The form of the relief requested.
 - (c) *Review and Determination of Protest:* If the Solicitation Protest is not timely, the Procurement Manager shall notify the protesting party that the Solicitation Protest is untimely and, therefore, rejected. The Procurement Manager shall consider all timely Solicitation Protests and may conduct any inquiry that the Procurement Manager deems necessary to make a determination regarding a protest. The Procurement Manager shall issue a written determination granting or denying the protest. The written determination shall contain a concise statement of the basis for the determination.

(d) *Appeal*: If the protesting party is not satisfied with the Procurement Manager's determination, the protesting party may appeal the determination to the County Manager by filing a written appeal, which sets forth the basis upon which the appeal is based, including all supporting documentation. The scope of the appeal shall be limited to the basis alleged in the Solicitation Protest. The appeal must be filed with the Procurement Manager within five business days of the date on which the Procurement Manager's written determination was sent to the protesting party. Failure to timely file an appeal shall constitute a waiver of the protesting party's rights to an appeal of the Procurement Manager's determination, and the protesting party shall be forever barred from subsequently raising or appealing said Solicitation defects in a subsequent award protest, if any, or any other administrative or legal proceeding. After considering the appeal, the County Manager must determine whether the solicitation should stand, be revised, or be cancelled, and issue a written determination and provide copies of the determination to the protesting party. The determination of the County Manager shall be final and not subject to further appeal under this code.

(3) *Award Protest*. Any Bidder who is not the intended awardee and who claims to be the rightful awardee may file an award protest. However, an award protest is not valid and shall be rejected for lack of standing if it does not demonstrate that the protesting party would be awarded the Solicitation if its protest is upheld.

(a) *Basis of the Award Protest*: The alleged basis for an Award Protest shall be limited to the following:

- i. The protesting party was incorrectly deemed non-responsive due to an incorrect assessment of fact or law;
- ii. The County failed to substantively follow the procedures or requirements specified in the solicitation documents, except for minor irregularities that were waived by the County in accordance with this Code, which resulted in a competitive disadvantage to the protesting party; and
- iii. The County made a mathematical error in evaluating the responses to the solicitation, resulting in an incorrect score and not *protesting party not being selected for award*.

(b) *Timing and Content of the Award Protest*: The Award Protest must be in writing and must be received by the Procurement Manager, twhite@alachuacounty.us by no later than 3:00 PM on the third business day after the County's proposed Award decision was posted by the County. Failure to timely file an Award Protest shall constitute a total and complete waiver of the Bidder's right to protest or appeal the County's proposed Award decision in any administrative or legal proceeding. In the event an Award Protest is timely filed, the protesting party shall be deemed to have waived any and all proposed Award defects that were not timely alleged in the protesting party's Award Protest, and the protesting party shall be forever barred from subsequently raising or appealing said Award defects in any administrative or legal proceeding. The Award Protest must include, at a minimum, the following information:

- i. The name, address, e-mail and telephone number of the protesting party;
- ii. The Solicitation number and title;
- iii. Information sufficient to establish that the protesting party's response was responsive to the Solicitation;
- iv. Information sufficient to establish that the protesting party has legal standing to file the Solicitation Protest because:
 1. The protesting party submitted a response to the Solicitation or other basis for establishing legal standing;
 2. The protesting party has a substantial interest in and is aggrieved in connection with the proposed Award decision; and
 3. The protesting party, and not any other bidder, should be awarded the Solicitation if the protesting party's Award Protest is upheld.
- v. A detailed statement of the basis for the protest;
- vi. References to section of the Code, Florida Statutes, County policies or procedure or solicitation term that the protesting party alleges have been violated by the County or that entitles the protesting party to the relief requested;

- vii. All supporting evidence or documents that substantiate the protesting party's alleged basis for the protest; and
 - viii. The form of the relief requested.
- (c) *Review and Determination of Protest:* If the Award Protest is not timely, the Procurement Manager shall notify the protesting party that the Award Protests is untimely and, therefore, rejected. The Procurement Manager shall consider all timely Award Protests and may conduct any inquiry that the county Procurement Manager deems necessary to resolve the protest by mutual agreement or to make a determination regarding the protests. The Procurement Manager shall issue a written determination granting or denying each protest. The written determination shall contain a concise statement of the basis for the determination.
- (d) Appeal:
- i. If the protesting party is not satisfied with the Procurement Manager's determination, the protesting party may appeal the determination to the County Manager by filing a written appeal, which sets forth the basis upon which the appeal is based. The scope of the appeal shall be limited to the basis alleged in the award protest. The appeal must be filed with the Procurement Manager within five business days of the date on which the Procurement Manager's written determination was mailed to the protesting party. Failure to timely file an appeal shall constitute a waiver of the protesting party's rights to an appeal of the Procurement Manager's determination, and the protesting party shall be forever barred from subsequently raising or appealing said award defects in any administrative or legal proceeding.
 - ii. After reviewing the appeal, the County Manager will issue a written final determination and provide copies of the determination to the protesting party. Prior to issuing a final determination, the County Manager, in his or her discretion, may direct a hearing officer, or magistrate, to conduct an administrative hearing in connection with the protest and issue findings and recommendations to the County Manager. Prior to a hearing, if held, the Procurement Manager must file with the hearing officer the protest, any background information, and his or her written determination. The protesting party and the County shall equally share the cost of conducting any hearing, including the services of the hearing officer. If applicable, the County Manager may wait to issue a written final determination until after receipt of the findings and recommendations of the hearing officer. The determination of the County Manager shall be final and not subject to further appeal under this code.
- (4) *Burden of Proof:* Unless otherwise provide by Florida law, the burden of proof shall rest with the protesting party.
- (5) *Stay of Procurements during Protests.* In the event of a timely protest, the County shall not proceed further with the solicitation or with the award of the contract until the Procurement Manager, after consultation with the head of the using department, makes a written determination that the award of the solicitation without delay is:
- (a) Necessary to avoid an immediate and serious danger to the public health, safety, or welfare;
 - (b) Necessary to avoid or substantial reduce significant damage to County property;
 - (c) Necessary to *avoid or substantially reduce interruption of essential County Services; or;*
 - (d) Otherwise in the best interest of the public.

Public Meeting Minutes (Record)

Ranking for RFP 23-434-DK Parking Structure for the New Alachua County Court Complex

Date: September 11, 2023

Start Time: 9:01 am

Location: County Administration Building, Third Floor Conference Room
12 SE 1st St. 3rd floor, Gainesville, FL 32601

1. Call Meeting to Order

2. RFP Process Overview for Today's Meeting

- 2.1. Good morning, I am Darryl Kight along with Leira Cruz Cáliz with Procurement, and I will be administrating this meeting as the Committee Chair (non-voting member), introduce committee, Dan Whitcraft (Leader), Danny Moore, and Lu Ann Smith.
- 2.2. Thank you, committee, for taking the time out of your busy schedule to evaluate these proposals. Welcome to the citizen attending this Public Meeting; this meeting is open to the public, and you will have an announced time (3 minutes; no response required) for public comments. Please review the agenda that is on the screen.
- 2.3. The RFP team will be evaluating vendors' proposal, discussing their scores, and approving the Team's Ranking. This Team's final ranking will be submitted to the BoCC for their approval and authorization to negotiate a contract.

3. RFP Committee Members Process Instructions

- 3.1. **First**, I have collected all signed Disclosure Forms (Conflict of Interest), and I will show them on screen, discuss if necessary.
- 3.2. **Second**, provide procurement points to members for VOW.
- 3.3. Due to the cone-of-silence imposed on the committee members, this is the first occasion members have been able to talk and work together as a committee.
- 3.4. *As committee members you have broad latitude in your discussions, deliberations and ranking provided you are not arbitrary and capricious.*
- 3.5. **Third**, Record and Discuss the preliminary scores on the screen. Call for validation of scores to ensure they have been recorded correctly and that they match the scores on your individual score sheets.

Vendor	Ability of Profess Points Based 50 Points (28.6%)	Capability to Mee Points Based 20 Points (11.4%)	Volume of Previo Points Based 5 Points (2.9%)	Understanding of Points Based 25 Points (14.3%)	Project Approach Points Based 25 Points (14.3%)	Project Manager Points Based 10 Points (5.7%)	Project Team Points Based 20 Points (11.4%)	Project Schedule Points Based 10 Points (5.7%)	Proposal Organiz Points Based 10 Points (5.7%)	Total Score (Max Score 175)
FINFROCK	48	18.7	5	24.7	24.7	9.3	19.3	9	9.7	168.33
The Collage Companies	46	18.3	5	24.3	23.7	8	18	8.7	9.7	161.67
Parrish McCall Constructors	44.7	17.7	5	23	22.7	8	18	7	9.3	155.33
Charles Perry Partners, Inc.	42.7	18	0	23.7	19.7	8.7	18.3	8	8.7	147.67
Ajax Building Company, LLC	39	18.3	0	21.7	18	8.3	14.7	7.3	8.7	136
Kokolakis Contracting	37	12.7	0	21.7	18	8.3	12.3	8.3	6	124.33

- 3.6. The team will discuss, evaluate, and rank all vendor submittals. You have your proposal evaluation forms so now we can start discussions with the first vendor. (**Encourage dialog**)
 - 3.6.1. Discuss scores and make Changes if pertinent.
 - 3.6.2. Discussion record and Update: **Proposal Score Evaluation**
 - 3.6.2.1. Encourage discussion on the proposals, scoring and until all members are satisfied.
 - 3.6.2.2. NOTE: Agents will monitor the discussion, keep it on track; keep it on topic.
 - 3.6.3. Call for validation of RFP team **Proposal Scores** for the Team's Final Ranking.
 - 3.6.4. Choose to not have Oral Presentation

4. Motion: Dan Whitcraft motioned to not have Oral Presentations with the top three firms. Danny Moore, seconded.
Vote 3-0 in favor.

Motion: Dan Whitcraft motioned to approve the above ranking and authorize staff to negotiate agreement with the top ranked firm. Should the staff be unable to negotiate a satisfactory agreement with the top ranked firm, negotiations with the unsuccessful firm will be terminated. Negotiations with the second ranked firm may be undertaken in the same manner in order of ranking until an agreement is reached, and so forth; Lu Ann Smith seconded.

Vote 3-0 in favor.

5. Public Comments (3 minutes): The Collage Companies
6. Motion to Approve the Meeting Minutes: Danny Moore moved to approve the Minutes; Dan Whitcraft, seconded the motion.
Vote 3-0 in favor.
7. Meeting Adjourn at 9:27 am.



Alachua County, Florida

Procurement

Theodore "TJ" White, Jr. CPPB, Procurement Manager
County Administration Building, Gainesville, FL 32601
(352) 374-5202

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

RFP No. RFP 23-434-DK

Parking Structure for the New Alachua County Court Complex

RESPONSE DEADLINE: August 16, 2023 at 2:00 pm

Tuesday, September 12, 2023

SOLICITATION OVERVIEW

Project Title	Parking Structure for the New Alachua County Court Complex
Project ID	RFP 23-434-DK
Project Type	Request For Proposal
Release Date	July 12, 2023
Due Date	August 16, 2023
Procurement Agent	Darryl R Kight
Evaluators	Danny Moore, Lu Ann Smith, Daniel Whitcraft
Project Description	The Alachua County Facilities Management is requesting proposals from experienced design-build firm teams for the design and construction of the Parking Structure Project located in the City of Gainesville, Florida. The proposed improvements are at the proposed Judicial Justice center site, South of the Criminal courthouse, located at 220 South Main Street, Gainesville, Florida. The Design-build firm shall be responsible for technical expertise, professional services and all related activities for complete management of the project. This will require coordination with private utility companies, residents, permitting agencies, public utilities, and quality assurance for all work products and output. Construction progress must include schedules showing critical completion dates and organized execution of the work and methodology.

INTRODUCTION

Summary

Alachua County Board of County Commissioners (hereinafter, the "County" or "Alachua County") is seeking proposals from qualified individuals or entities (hereinafter, referred to as "Consultant" or the "proposer") for the provision of RFP 23-434-DK Parking Structure for the New Alachua County Court Complex.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

RFP No. RFP 23-434-DK

Parking Structure for the New Alachua County Court Complex

The following apply to this request for proposal: [Instruction to Proposers](#), [Terms and Conditions](#), [Insurance](#), [Scope of Work](#), [Proposal Requirements and Organization](#), [Request for Proposal Selection Procedures](#), [Evaluation Phases](#), [Attachments](#), [Submittals](#) and [Sample Agreement](#).

The Alachua County Facilities Management is requesting proposals from experienced design-build firm teams for the design and construction of the Parking Structure Project located in the City of Gainesville, Florida. The proposed improvements are at the proposed Judicial Justice center site, South of the Criminal courthouse, located at 220 South Main Street, Gainesville, Florida. The Design-build firm shall be responsible for technical expertise, professional services and all related activities for complete management of the project. This will require coordination with private utility companies, residents, permitting agencies, public utilities, and quality assurance for all work products and output. Construction progress must include schedules showing critical completion dates and organized execution of the work and methodology.

Background

Location: Alachua County is located in North Central Florida. The County government seat is situated in Gainesville. Gainesville is located 70 miles southwest of Jacksonville, 129 miles southeast of Tallahassee, 140 miles northeast of Tampa - St. Petersburg and 109 miles northwest of Orlando. Alachua County has a population of over 250,000 and a regional airport. The County itself consists of a total area of 969 square miles.

Form of Government: Alachua County is governed by a Board of five (5) elected County Commissioners and operates under the established County Manager Charter form of government. In addition to the five County Commissioners, there are five elected Constitutional Officers: Supervisor of Elections, Sheriff, Clerk of the Court, Tax Collector, and the Property Appraiser. The Alachua County Attorney also reports to the Board.

Contact Information

Darryl R Kight

Procurement Supervisor, CPPB, CPM

Email: drkight@alachuacounty.us

Phone: [\(352\) 374-5202](tel:(352)374-5202)

Department:

Facilities Management

Timeline

OpenGov Release Project Date	July 12, 2023
2nd Advertisement Date	July 19, 2023

<p>Pre-Solicitation Meeting (Mandatory)</p>	<p>July 28, 2023, 9:00am 220 S Main Street, Gainesville, FL 32601 Meet at the Main Criminal Courthouse Entrance</p>
<p>Question Submission Deadline</p>	<p>August 6, 2023, 12:01am</p>
<p>Solicitation Submission Deadline</p>	<p>August 16, 2023, 2:00pm</p>
<p>Solicitation Opening – Teams Meeting</p>	<p>August 16, 2023, 2:00pm The scheduled solicitation opening will occur via Teams Meeting; the information to join is provided below. Attendance (live viewing) of the proposals opening is not required.</p> <p>Join Microsoft Teams meeting Join on your computer, mobile app or room device Click here to join the meeting</p> <p>Meeting ID: 259 625 692 241 Passcode: yX9G3Q Download Teams Join on the web Or call in (audio only) +1 469-998-7938,,366862554# United States, Dallas Phone Conference ID: 366 862 554#</p> <p>If you have a disability and need an accommodation in order to participate, please contact the Alachua County ADA Coordinator at ADA@alachuacounty.us or Equal Opportunity Office at 352-374-5275 at least 7 business days prior to the event. If you are unable to notify the Office prior to the event, please inform an Alachua County employee that you need assistance. TDD/TTY users, please call 711 (Florida Relay Service).</p>

SOLICITATION STATUS HISTORY

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

RFP No. RFP 23-434-DK

Parking Structure for the New Alachua County Court Complex

Date	Changed To	Changed By
Jun 29, 2023 2:27 PM	Draft	Darryl R Kight
Jun 29, 2023 2:38 PM	Review	Darryl R Kight
Jul 11, 2023 1:24 PM	Final	Darryl R Kight
Jul 11, 2023 1:24 PM	Post Pending	Darryl R Kight
Jul 12, 2023 2:16 PM	Open	Darryl R Kight
Aug 16, 2023 2:00 PM	Pending	OpenGov Bot
Aug 24, 2023 9:14 AM	Evaluation	Mandy Mullins
Aug 24, 2023 1:44 PM	Pending	Mandy Mullins
Aug 24, 2023 1:44 PM	Evaluation	Mandy Mullins
Aug 24, 2023 7:00 PM	Pending	Mandy Mullins
Aug 24, 2023 7:01 PM	Evaluation	Mandy Mullins

PROPOSALS RECEIVED

Status	Vendor	Contact Info	Submission Date
Submitted	Ajax Building Company, LLC	Kasey Diehl kasey.diehl@ajaxbuilding.com	Aug 16, 2023 11:56 AM
Submitted	Charles Perry Partners, Inc.	CPPI Team marcom@cpqi.com (352) 333-9292	Aug 16, 2023 12:11 PM
Submitted	FINFROCK	Cara Strayer cstrayer@finfrock.com	Aug 16, 2023 1:41 PM
Submitted	Kokolakis Contracting	Andria Sartor marketing@jkkokolakis.com (727) 271-6072	Aug 16, 2023 11:31 AM
No Bid	Network Craze	Michael Featherstone mfeatherstone@networkcraze.com	Jul 12, 2023 2:18 PM
Submitted	Parrish McCall Constructors	Wendy Porto wporto@parrish-mccall.com (352) 378-1571	Aug 16, 2023 9:34 AM
Submitted	The Collage Companies	Jesse Walsh jwalsh@collage-usa.com	Aug 16, 2023 1:38 PM
No Bid	The Peavey Corporation dba Lynn Peavey Company	Maria Muniz mmuniz@peaveycorp.com (913) 495-6642	Jul 12, 2023 2:26 PM

VENDOR QUESTIONNAIRE PASS/FAIL

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

RFP No. RFP 23-434-DK

Parking Structure for the New Alachua County Court Complex

Question Title	Ajax Building Company, LLC	Charles Perry Partners, Inc.	FINFROCK	Kokolakis Contracting
Corporate Resolution Granting Signature	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
Mandatory Pre-Bid Attendance	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
State Compliance	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
Public Record Trade Secret or Proprietary Confidential Business Information Exemption Request	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
Public Record Trade Secret or Proprietary Confidential Business Information Exemption Request	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
Public Record Trade Secret or Proprietary Confidential Business Information Exemption Request	No Response	No Response	No Response	No Response
Drug Free Workplace	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
Vendor Eligibility	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
NON-SBE Subcontractors	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
Responsible Agent Designation	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
Conflict of Interest	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
Request for Proposal Submittal Documentation	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
Acknowledgement of Requirements	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass

Question Title	Network Craze	Parrish McCall Constructors	The Collage Companies	The Peavey Corporation dba Lynn Peavey Company
Corporate Resolution Granting Signature	No Response	Pass	Pass	No Response
Mandatory Pre-Bid Attendance	No Response	Pass	Pass	No Response

Question Title	Network Craze	Parrish McCall Constructors	The Collage Companies	The Peavey Corporation dba Lynn Peavey Company
State Compliance	No Response	Pass	Pass	No Response
Public Record Trade Secret or Proprietary Confidential Business Information Exemption Request	No Response	Pass	Pass	No Response
Public Record Trade Secret or Proprietary Confidential Business Information Exemption Request	No Response	Pass	Pass	No Response
Public Record Trade Secret or Proprietary Confidential Business Information Exemption Request	No Response	No Response	No Response	No Response
Drug Free Workplace	No Response	Pass	Pass	No Response
Vendor Eligibility	No Response	Pass	Pass	No Response
NON-SBE Subcontractors	No Response	Pass	Pass	No Response
Responsible Agent Designation	No Response	Pass	Pass	No Response
Conflict of Interest	No Response	Pass	Pass	No Response
Request for Proposal Submittal Documentation	No Response	Pass	Pass	No Response
Acknowledgement of Requirements	No Response	Pass	Pass	No Response

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Approved, Unanswered Questions

Approved, Answers Provided

1. Services

Jul 14, 2023 8:00 AM

Question: If an architecture firm submitted as prime for RFP 23-422-DK A&E Services for the New Civil Courthouse, would they be disqualified for joining a design build team in pursuit of this project?

Jul 14, 2023 8:00 AM

Answered by Daniel Whitcraft: No, these projects are exclusive of each other.

Jul 14, 2023 1:56 PM

2. Value

Jul 19, 2023 12:45 PM

Question: What is the overall budget of this RFP , if determined?

Jul 19, 2023 12:45 PM

Answered by Darryl R Kight: Our estimated budget is \$10 million.

Jul 19, 2023 1:38 PM

3. Certifications

Aug 1, 2023 1:16 PM

Question: Is this project chasing any certifications such as, Smart Park, LEED, or Green Globes?

Aug 1, 2023 1:16 PM

Answered by Daniel Whitcraft: No

Aug 3, 2023 11:17 AM

4. Questions from Pre-Solicitation Meeting

Aug 4, 2023 8:33 AM

Question: When will the County be publishing answers to questions from the July 28th pre-solicitation meeting? It may be beneficial to have these prior to the deadline for questions (August 6th). Thank you.

Aug 4, 2023 8:33 AM

Answered by Leira Cruz Cáliz, CAPM, CPPB: Questions and Answers have been added below and on the Meeting Minutes document provided in the Notices tab.

Aug 4, 2023 2:08 PM

5. Form of Agreement

Aug 4, 2023 8:34 AM

Question: Will the County be issuing a form of agreement (sample contract) prior to the deadline for questions?

Aug 4, 2023 8:34 AM

Answered by Darryl R Kight: See Notice #2 for the "Design-Build Sample Contract".

Aug 4, 2023 2:32 PM

6. Civil Engineering

Aug 4, 2023 1:59 PM

Question: Is the Civil Engineering part of the scope?

Aug 4, 2023 1:59 PM

Answered by Leira Cruz Cáliz, CAPM, CPPB: No. The County will provide civil engineering by September 2023.

Aug 4, 2023 2:00 PM

7. Status for Other Projects

Aug 4, 2023 2:04 PM

Question: What is the status of the other projects related to the Alachua County Court Complex?

Aug 4, 2023 2:04 PM

Answered by Leira Cruz Cáliz, CAPM, CPPB: 1. RFP 23-440-DK CM at Risk for New Alachua County Civil Courthouse Complex a. Opens August 16 2023 b. All project updates are available on OpenGov. 2. RFP 23-430-DK Civil Engineering for the New County Court Complex a. Under Evaluation b. All project updates are available on OpenGov.

Aug 4, 2023 2:12 PM

8. No subject

Aug 4, 2023 2:03 PM

Question: Are load calculations based on City requirements for the first floor of the structure?

Aug 4, 2023 2:03 PM

Answered by Leira Cruz Cáliz, CAPM, CPPB: Yes

Aug 4, 2023 2:12 PM

9. Estimated Delivery

Aug 4, 2023 2:03 PM

Question: What is the estimated timeline for delivery?

Aug 4, 2023 2:03 PM

Answered by Leira Cruz Cáliz, CAPM, CPPB: Estimated timeline is 4th Quarter of 2025.

Aug 4, 2023 2:12 PM

10. Sample Agreement

Aug 4, 2023 2:03 PM

Question: Is there a sample Design-Build agreement for this project?

Aug 4, 2023 2:03 PM

Answered by Leira Cruz Cáliz, CAPM, CPPB: Review sample agreement posted in the Notices tab in OpenGov.

Aug 4, 2023 2:12 PM

11. Permitting

Aug 4, 2023 2:02 PM

Question: Who will provide the permitting fees for the Garage? Permitting for building?

Aug 4, 2023 2:02 PM

Answered by Leira Cruz Cáliz, CAPM, CPPB: The County will provide the permitting fees for the Garage Civil portion. Permitting for building will be part of design-build award.

Aug 4, 2023 2:12 PM

12. Elevator Requirements

Aug 4, 2023 2:02 PM

Question: Are there any minimum requirements for the number of elevators?

Aug 4, 2023 2:02 PM

Answered by Leira Cruz Cáliz, CAPM, CPPB: Yes. Based on Building Codes.

Aug 4, 2023 2:12 PM

13. Design

Aug 4, 2023 2:02 PM

Question: Is there a specific design for this project?

Aug 4, 2023 2:02 PM

Answered by Leira Cruz Cáliz, CAPM, CPPB: This project's design should mimic the Criminal Courthouse and future complex.

Aug 4, 2023 2:12 PM

14. Proposed space

Aug 4, 2023 2:01 PM

Question: How big is the proposed space?

Aug 4, 2023 2:01 PM

Answered by Leira Cruz Cáliz, CAPM, CPPB: 700 parking spaces, 5 stories

Aug 4, 2023 2:12 PM

15. State Attorney's Office

Aug 4, 2023 2:01 PM

Question: State Attorney's Office included in this project?

Aug 4, 2023 2:01 PM

Answered by Leira Cruz Cáliz, CAPM, CPPB: No. It is included in the rendering of our future plans

Aug 4, 2023 2:12 PM

16. Site-planning

Aug 4, 2023 2:01 PM

Question: Is site-planning is three separate solicitations?

Aug 4, 2023 2:01 PM

Answered by Leira Cruz Cáliz, CAPM, CPPB: Site Planning is not included in this scope of work

Aug 4, 2023 2:12 PM

17. Footprint

Aug 4, 2023 2:00 PM

Question: Will the County provide basic footprint?

Aug 4, 2023 2:00 PM

Answered by Leira Cruz Cáliz, CAPM, CPPB: Footprint to remain within 5 stories to accommodate 700 spaces with 30-foot setbacks from easement

Aug 4, 2023 2:12 PM

18. Survey

Aug 4, 2023 2:00 PM

Question: Does the scope include survey? Does the County provide it?

Aug 4, 2023 2:00 PM

Answered by Leira Cruz Cáliz, CAPM, CPPB: Some of the survey has been done; the County will provide when it is available.

Aug 4, 2023 2:12 PM

19. Central Energy Plant

Aug 4, 2023 2:37 PM

Question: Is the central energy plant part of the scope of the D/B Parking Structure project or the A/E / CM Civil Courthouse project? Is there any further information available about the scope of the CEP component?

Aug 4, 2023 2:37 PM

Answered by Daniel Whitcraft: The CEP is NOT part of the Parking Structure project. The court complex is currently divided into three projects (Civil Courthouse, Parking Structure, and CEP). Any building load associated with the parking structure will be handled by the CEP/MEP Firm.

Aug 7, 2023 10:39 AM

ADDENDA & NOTICES

ADDENDA ISSUED:

Addendum #1

Jul 14, 2023 11:05 AM

Please see the removed Sections 6.2K, 6.2L, and 6.2M in the Scope of Services.

Please use the [See What Changed](#) link to view all the changes made by this addendum.

ADDENDA ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS:

Addendum #1

Proposal	Confirmed	Confirmed At	Confirmed By
Parrish McCall Constructors	X	Aug 16, 2023 9:25 AM	Mack Pearson
Kokolakis Contracting	X	Aug 11, 2023 12:50 PM	Andria Sartor

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY
RFP No. RFP 23-434-DK
Parking Structure for the New Alachua County Court Complex

Ajax Building Company, LLC	X	Aug 16, 2023 10:46 AM	Kasey Diehl
Charles Perry Partners, Inc.	X	Aug 16, 2023 11:30 AM	CPPI Team
The Collage Companies	X	Aug 15, 2023 3:36 PM	Jesse Walsh
FINFROCK	X	Aug 16, 2023 9:56 AM	Cara Strayer

NOTICES ISSUED:

Notice #1

Jul 28, 2023 10:54 AM

Sign in Sheet

Notice #2

Aug 4, 2023 2:49 PM

Review the Mandatory Pre-Bid Meeting Minutes attached below. Document also includes Questions and Answers.

Below is a draft of the Design-Build Agreement. This is just a sample template and is subject to change.

Notice #3

Aug 16, 2023 3:11 PM

Please see the attached document.

Notice #4

Aug 24, 2023 1:41 PM

Alachua County Procurement announces a public meeting to which all persons are invited to attend an Evaluation Committee Meeting:

Topic: Public Notice of Evaluation Committee Meeting for [RFP 23-434-DK Parking Structure for the New Alachua County Court Complex](#)
Time: Monday, September 11, 2023 @ 9:00 am Eastern Time (US and Canada)
Location: Alachua County Administration Building
Third Floor Conference Room
12 SE 1st Street, Gainesville, FL 32601

Join Teams Meeting

Microsoft Teams meeting

Join on your computer, mobile app or room device.

[Click here to join the meeting](#)

Meeting ID: 246 660 329 932

Passcode: SGGYCS

Or call in (audio only)

[+1 469-998-7938,,928380217#](tel:+14699987938,928380217#) United States, Dallas

Phone Conference ID: 928 380 217#

These meetings are subject to change and/or cancellation. If you have any questions regarding these meetings, please call 352.384.3090. All persons are advised that, if they decide to contest any decision made at any of these meetings, they will need a record of the proceedings and, for such purpose, they may need to ensure that verbatim record of the proceedings is

made which record includes the testimony and evidence upon which the appeal is to be based. If any accommodations are needed for persons with disabilities, please contact the County’s Equal Opportunity Office at (352)374-5275 or (TTD) (352)-374-5284.

Notice #5

Sep 11, 2023 9:39 AM

Attached are the Agenda, Meeting Minutes and Recording for Public Meeting RFP 23-434-DK Parking Structure for the New Alachua County Court Complex

EVALUATION

PHASE 1

EVALUATORS

Name	Title	Agreement Accepted On
Danny Moore	Project Coordinator	Sep 8, 2023 8:41 AM
Lu Ann Smith	Administrative Services Manager, Court Administration	Sep 10, 2023 6:57 PM
Daniel Whitcraft	Director of Facilities	Sep 7, 2023 2:05 PM

EVALUATION CRITERIA

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Ability of Professional Personnel	Points Based	50 (28.6% of Total)

Description:

- A. Resumes of the key staff support the firm's Competency in doing this type of work? Key staff includes the Project Manager, and other project team professionals.
- B. Has the firm done this type of work in the past?
- C. Is any of this work to be subcontracted? If so, what are the abilities of the firm(s) to be subcontracted?
- D. Based on questions above, award points as follows:
 - 1. 21-30 points - Exceptional Experience
 - 2. 11-20 points - Average Experience
 - 3. 0-10 points - Minimal Experience
- E. Has the company or key staff recently done this type of work for the County, the State, or for local government in the past?
 - 1. If the work was acceptable, award up to ten (10) points.
 - 2. If the firm has not done this type of work, award zero (0) points.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

RFP No. RFP 23-434-DK

Parking Structure for the New Alachua County Court Complex

- 3. If the work was unacceptable, deduct up to ten (10) points and note why.
- F. Are there factors, such as unique abilities, which would make a noticeable (positive) impact on the project?
 - 1. If the answer is yes, award from one (1) to ten (10) points and note reasons.
 - 2. If the answer is no, award zero (0) points.

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Capability to Meet Time and Budget Requirements	Points Based	20 (11.4% of Total)

Description:

- A. Does the level of key staffing and their percentage of involvement, the use of subcontractors (if any), office location, and/or information contained in the transmittal letter indicate that the firm will, or will not, meet time and budget requirements?
- B. To your knowledge, has the firm met or had trouble meeting time and budget requirements on similar projects?
- C. Have proof of insurability and other measures of financial stability been provided?
- D. Are time schedules reasonable?
- E. Current Workload.
- F. This factor is designed to determine how busy a firm is by comparing all Florida work against Florida personnel.
 - 1. If the work was acceptable, award up to ten (20) points.
 - 2. If the firm has not done this type of work, award zero (0) points.
 - 3. **If the work was unacceptable, deduct up to ten (10) points and note why.**

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Volume of Previous Work (VOW) awarded by the County	Points Based	5 (2.9% of Total)

Description:

Points Provided by Procurement.

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Understanding of Project	Points Based	25 (14.3% of Total)

Description:

- A. Did the proposal indicate a thorough understanding of the project?
- B. Is the appropriate emphasis placed on the various work tasks?
 - 1. If the work was acceptable, award up to twenty-five (25) points.
 - 2. If the firm has not done this type of work, award zero (0) points.
 - 3. **If the work was unacceptable, deduct up to ten (10) points and note why.**

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Project Approach	Points Based	25 (14.3% of Total)

Description:

- A. Did the firm develop a workable approach to the project?
- B. Does the proposal specifically address the County's needs or is it "generic" in content?

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Project Manager	Points Based	10 (5.7% of Total)

Description:

- A. Does the project manager have experience with projects comparable in size and scope?
- B. Does the Project Manager have a stable job history? Have they been with the firm long, or have there been frequent job changes?

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Project Team	Points Based	20 (11.4% of Total)

Description:

- A. Was a project team identified?
- B. Is the team makeup appropriate for the project?
- C. Do the team members have experience with comparable projects?
- D. Are there any sub contracted firms involved? Will this enhance the project team?
- E. Are the hours assigned to the various team members for each task appropriate?

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Project Schedule	Points Based	10 (5.7% of Total)

Description:

- A. Is the proposed schedule reasonable based on quantity of personnel assigned to the project?
- B. Are individual tasks staged properly and in proper sequence?

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Proposal Organization	Points Based	10 (5.7% of Total)

Description:

- A. Was proposal organization per the RFP?
- B. Was all required paperwork submitted and completed appropriately?
- C. Did the proposal contain an excessive amount of generic boilerplate, resumes, pages per resume, photographs, etc.?

AGGREGATE SCORES SUMMARY

Vendor	Danny Moore	Lu Ann Smith	Daniel Whitcraft	Total Score (Max Score 175)
FINFROCK	162	173	170	168.33
The Collage Companies	156	175	154	161.67
Parrish McCall Constructors	156	157	153	155.33
Charles Perry Partners, Inc.	150	150	143	147.67
Ajax Building Company, LLC	148	117	143	136
Kokolakis Contracting	143	95	135	124.33

VENDOR SCORES BY EVALUATION CRITERIA

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

RFP No. RFP 23-434-DK

Parking Structure for the New Alachua County Court Complex

Vendor	Ability of Professional Personnel Points Based 50 Points (28.6%)	Capability to Meet Time and Budget Requirements Points Based 20 Points (11.4%)	Volume of Previous Work (VOW) awarded by the County Points Based 5 Points (2.9%)	Understanding of Project Points Based 25 Points (14.3%)
FINFROCK	48	18.7	5	24.7
The Collage Companies	46	18.3	5	24.3
Parrish McCall Constructors	44.7	17.7	5	23
Charles Perry Partners, Inc.	42.7	18	0	23.7
Ajax Building Company, LLC	39	18.3	0	21.7
Kokolakis Contracting	37	12.7	0	21.7

Vendor	Project Approach Points Based 25 Points (14.3%)	Project Manager Points Based 10 Points (5.7%)	Project Team Points Based 20 Points (11.4%)	Project Schedule Points Based 10 Points (5.7%)
FINFROCK	24.7	9.3	19.3	9
The Collage Companies	23.7	8	18	8.7
Parrish McCall Constructors	22.7	8	18	7
Charles Perry Partners, Inc.	19.7	8.7	18.3	8
Ajax Building Company, LLC	18	8.3	14.7	7.3
Kokolakis Contracting	18	8.3	12.3	8.3

Vendor	Proposal Organization Points Based 10 Points (5.7%)	Total Score (Max Score 175)
FINFROCK	9.7	168.33
The Collage Companies	9.7	161.67
Parrish McCall Constructors	9.3	155.33
Charles Perry Partners, Inc.	8.7	147.67
Ajax Building Company, LLC	8.7	136
Kokolakis Contracting	6	124.33

INDIVIDUAL PROPOSAL SCORES

Ajax Building Company, LLC

Ability of Professional Personnel | Points Based | 50 Points (28.6%)

Danny Moore: 43
Lu Ann Smith: 30
Daniel Whitcraft: 44

Capability to Meet Time and Budget Requirements | Points Based | 20 Points (11.4%)

Danny Moore: 18
Lu Ann Smith: 20
Daniel Whitcraft: 17

Volume of Previous Work (VOW) awarded by the County | Points Based | 5 Points (2.9%)

Danny Moore: 0 \$12,546,604.54
Lu Ann Smith: 0 \$12,546,604.54
Daniel Whitcraft: 0 \$12,546,604.54

Understanding of Project | Points Based | 25 Points (14.3%)

Danny Moore: 23
Lu Ann Smith: 20
Daniel Whitcraft: 22

Mentions Sustainability

Project Approach | Points Based | 25 Points (14.3%)

Danny Moore: 22
Lu Ann Smith: 10
Daniel Whitcraft: 22

Project Manager | Points Based | 10 Points (5.7%)

Danny Moore: 8
Lu Ann Smith: 10

Daniel Whitcraft: 7

Project Team | Points Based | 20 Points (11.4%)

Danny Moore: 18

Lu Ann Smith: 10

Daniel Whitcraft: 16

Project Schedule | Points Based | 10 Points (5.7%)

Danny Moore: 8

Lu Ann Smith: 7

Daniel Whitcraft: 7

12-14 months to construct, did not delineate if this included Design time as well.

Proposal Organization | Points Based | 10 Points (5.7%)

Danny Moore: 8

Lu Ann Smith: 10

Daniel Whitcraft: 8

Charles Perry Partners, Inc.

Ability of Professional Personnel | Points Based | 50 Points (28.6%)

Danny Moore: 43

Lu Ann Smith: 40

Daniel Whitcraft: 45

Capability to Meet Time and Budget Requirements | Points Based | 20 Points (11.4%)

Danny Moore: 18

Lu Ann Smith: 20

Daniel Whitcraft: 16

Did not give much detail regarding budget.

Volume of Previous Work (VOW) awarded by the County | Points Based | 5 Points (2.9%)

Danny Moore: 0

\$1,821,841.70

Lu Ann Smith: 0

\$1,821,841.70

Daniel Whitcraft: 0

\$1,821,841.70

Understanding of Project | Points Based | 25 Points (14.3%)

Danny Moore: 24

Lu Ann Smith: 25

Daniel Whitcraft: 22

Project Approach | Points Based | 25 Points (14.3%)

Danny Moore: 23

Lu Ann Smith: 15

Daniel Whitcraft: 21

Somewhat generic.

Project Manager | Points Based | 10 Points (5.7%)

Danny Moore: 8

Lu Ann Smith: 10

Daniel Whitcraft: 8

Project Team | Points Based | 20 Points (11.4%)

Danny Moore: 18

Lu Ann Smith: 20

Daniel Whitcraft: 17

Project Schedule | Points Based | 10 Points (5.7%)

Danny Moore: 8

Lu Ann Smith: 10

Daniel Whitcraft: 6

Eight Months for Design seems excessive.

Proposal Organization | Points Based | 10 Points (5.7%)

Danny Moore: 8

Lu Ann Smith: 10

Daniel Whitcraft: 8

FINFROCK

Ability of Professional Personnel | Points Based | 50 Points (28.6%)

Danny Moore: 46

Lu Ann Smith: 48

Daniel Whitcraft: 50

Capability to Meet Time and Budget Requirements | Points Based | 20 Points (11.4%)

Danny Moore: 18

Lu Ann Smith: 20

Daniel Whitcraft: 18

Discussed several potential options.

Volume of Previous Work (VOW) awarded by the County | Points Based | 5 Points (2.9%)

Danny Moore: 5

\$0

Lu Ann Smith: 5

\$0

Daniel Whitcraft: 5

\$0

Understanding of Project | Points Based | 25 Points (14.3%)

Danny Moore: 24

Lu Ann Smith: 25

Daniel Whitcraft: 25

Project Approach | Points Based | 25 Points (14.3%)

Danny Moore: 24

Lu Ann Smith: 25

Daniel Whitcraft: 25

Vertically Intergrated company, very detailed on approach.

Project Manager | Points Based | 10 Points (5.7%)

Danny Moore: 9

Lu Ann Smith: 10
Daniel Whitcraft: 9

Project Team | Points Based | 20 Points (11.4%)

Danny Moore: 19
Lu Ann Smith: 20
Daniel Whitcraft: 19

Project Schedule | Points Based | 10 Points (5.7%)

Danny Moore: 8
Lu Ann Smith: 10
Daniel Whitcraft: 9

Very Detailed

Proposal Organization | Points Based | 10 Points (5.7%)

Danny Moore: 9
Lu Ann Smith: 10
Daniel Whitcraft: 10

Kokolakis Contracting

Ability of Professional Personnel | Points Based | 50 Points (28.6%)

Danny Moore: 42
Lu Ann Smith: 25
Daniel Whitcraft: 44

Capability to Meet Time and Budget Requirements | Points Based | 20 Points (11.4%)

Danny Moore: 18
Lu Ann Smith: 10
Daniel Whitcraft: 10

Mentioned Staffing %, but nothing on time/budget.

Volume of Previous Work (VOW) awarded by the County | Points Based | 5 Points (2.9%)

Danny Moore: 0

\$1,689,951.00

Lu Ann Smith: 0
 \$1,689,951.00

Daniel Whitcraft: 0
 \$1,689,951.00

Understanding of Project | Points Based | 25 Points (14.3%)

Danny Moore: 23

Lu Ann Smith: 20

Daniel Whitcraft: 22

Project Approach | Points Based | 25 Points (14.3%)

Danny Moore: 22

Lu Ann Smith: 10

Daniel Whitcraft: 22

Project Manager | Points Based | 10 Points (5.7%)

Danny Moore: 8

Lu Ann Smith: 10

Daniel Whitcraft: 7

Limited Parking Structure experience.

Project Team | Points Based | 20 Points (11.4%)

Danny Moore: 16

Lu Ann Smith: 5

Daniel Whitcraft: 16

Project Schedule | Points Based | 10 Points (5.7%)

Danny Moore: 7

Lu Ann Smith: 10

Daniel Whitcraft: 8

Schedule was detailed.

Proposal Organization | Points Based | 10 Points (5.7%)

Danny Moore: 7

Lu Ann Smith: 5

Daniel Whitcraft: 6

Parrish McCall Constructors

Ability of Professional Personnel | Points Based | 50 Points (28.6%)

Danny Moore: 44

Lu Ann Smith: 45

Daniel Whitcraft: 45

Capability to Meet Time and Budget Requirements | Points Based | 20 Points (11.4%)

Danny Moore: 18

Lu Ann Smith: 17

Daniel Whitcraft: 18

Transparent and realistic.

Volume of Previous Work (VOW) awarded by the County | Points Based | 5 Points (2.9%)

Danny Moore: 5

\$0

Lu Ann Smith: 5

\$0

Daniel Whitcraft: 5

\$0

Understanding of Project | Points Based | 25 Points (14.3%)

Danny Moore: 24

Lu Ann Smith: 22

Daniel Whitcraft: 23

a little light on detail.

Project Approach | Points Based | 25 Points (14.3%)

Danny Moore: 23

Lu Ann Smith: 20

Daniel Whitcraft: 25

Provided realistic expectations/out of the box thinking.

Project Manager | Points Based | 10 Points (5.7%)

Danny Moore: 8
Lu Ann Smith: 10
Daniel Whitcraft: 6

Limited experience in Parking Structures.

Project Team | Points Based | 20 Points (11.4%)

Danny Moore: 18
Lu Ann Smith: 20
Daniel Whitcraft: 16

Project Schedule | Points Based | 10 Points (5.7%)

Danny Moore: 8
Lu Ann Smith: 8
Daniel Whitcraft: 5

Not much detail, generic.

Proposal Organization | Points Based | 10 Points (5.7%)

Danny Moore: 8
Lu Ann Smith: 10
Daniel Whitcraft: 10

The Collage Companies

Ability of Professional Personnel | Points Based | 50 Points (28.6%)

Danny Moore: 43
Lu Ann Smith: 50
Daniel Whitcraft: 45

Arch firm has designed several structures, Onsite construction team seems a little light on garage experience.

Capability to Meet Time and Budget Requirements | Points Based | 20 Points (11.4%)

Danny Moore: 18
Lu Ann Smith: 20
Daniel Whitcraft: 17

Indicated they can meet time/budget but did not discuss how?

Volume of Previous Work (VOW) awarded by the County | Points Based | 5 Points (2.9%)

Danny Moore: 5

\$0

Lu Ann Smith: 5

\$0

Daniel Whitcraft: 5

\$0

Understanding of Project | Points Based | 25 Points (14.3%)

Danny Moore: 24

Lu Ann Smith: 25

Daniel Whitcraft: 24

Detailed, obviously have researched the project.

Project Approach | Points Based | 25 Points (14.3%)

Danny Moore: 23

Lu Ann Smith: 25

Daniel Whitcraft: 23

Project Manager | Points Based | 10 Points (5.7%)

Danny Moore: 8

Lu Ann Smith: 10

Daniel Whitcraft: 6

Does not have what appears to be a lot of Garage experience.

Project Team | Points Based | 20 Points (11.4%)

Danny Moore: 18

Lu Ann Smith: 20

Daniel Whitcraft: 16

Project Schedule | Points Based | 10 Points (5.7%)

Danny Moore: 8

Lu Ann Smith: 10

Daniel Whitcraft: 8

Detailed and aggressive.

Proposal Organization Points Based 10 Points (5.7%)
Danny Moore: 9
Lu Ann Smith: 10
Daniel Whitcraft: 10






ITA 23-434-DK Parking Structure for the New Alachua County Court Complex mm

Final Audit Report

2023-09-18

Created:	2023-09-12
By:	Mandy Mullins (mmmullins@alachuacounty.us)
Status:	Signed
Transaction ID:	CBJCHBCAABAA8aOSHxHTxBEXDdlszasG-kBWsZXN_8Un

"ITA 23-434-DK Parking Structure for the New Alachua County Court Complex mm" History

-  Document created by Mandy Mullins (mmmullins@alachuacounty.us)
2023-09-12 - 9:14:29 AM GMT- IP address: 163.120.80.69
-  Document emailed to Darryl Kight (dkight@AlachuaCounty.US) for signature
2023-09-12 - 9:15:51 AM GMT
-  Email viewed by Darryl Kight (dkight@AlachuaCounty.US)
2023-09-12 - 10:28:43 AM GMT- IP address: 66.231.140.136
-  Document e-signed by Darryl Kight (dkight@AlachuaCounty.US)
Signature Date: 2023-09-12 - 10:29:20 AM GMT - Time Source: server- IP address: 66.231.140.136
-  Document emailed to TJ White (twhite@alachuacounty.us) for signature
2023-09-12 - 10:29:21 AM GMT
-  Email viewed by TJ White (twhite@alachuacounty.us)
2023-09-18 - 8:50:21 PM GMT- IP address: 163.120.80.11
-  Document e-signed by TJ White (twhite@alachuacounty.us)
Signature Date: 2023-09-18 - 8:57:16 PM GMT - Time Source: server- IP address: 163.120.80.11
-  Agreement completed.
2023-09-18 - 8:57:16 PM GMT