

ALACHUA COUNTY Budget and Fiscal Services Procurement

Theodore "TJ" White, Jr. CPPB Procurement Manager

Thomas J. Rouse Contracts Supervisor

July 9, 2024

MEMORANDUM

To: Theodore "TJ" White, Jr. CPPB, Procurement Manager

From: Mandy Mullins, Procurement Agent I Mandy Mullins

SUBJECT: INTENT TO AWARD

RFP 25-74-MM Annual Monitoring of Disaster Debris and Recovery Activities

Solicitation Deadline: 2:00 PM, Wednesday, May 22, 2024

Solicitation Notifications View Count:698 VendorsSolicitation Downloads:30 VendorsSolicitation Submissions:7 Vendors

Vendors:

CMTS Construction Management Services, LLC

DebrisTech, LLC

DebrisTech, LLC

DebrisTech, LLC

DebrisTech, LLC

Dallas, TX 75247 Picayune, MS 39466

Disaster Program & Operations, Inc.

Goodwyn Mills Cawood FL, LLC

Ponte Vedra, FL 32082-3557 Tampa, FL 33602

Rostan Solutions, LLC Tetra Tech, Inc. Valrico, FL 33596 Maitland, FL 32751

Thompson Consulting Services, LLC

Maitland, FL 32751

RECOMMENDATION:

The board approves the Evaluation Committee's award ranking below for RFP 25-74-MM Annual Monitoring of Disaster Debris and Recovery Activities.

- 1. Tetra Tech, Inc.
- 2. Goodwyn Mills Cawood FL, LLC
- 3. DebrisTech, LLC
- 4. Rostan Solutions, LLC
- 5. Thompson Consulting Services, LLC
- 6. Disaster Program & Operations, Inc.
- 7. CMTS Construction Management Services, LLC

Approve the above ranking and authorize staff to negotiate agreements with the top 2 ranked firms. Should the staff be unable to negotiate a satisfactory agreement with the top ranked firm, negotiations with the unsuccessful firm will be terminated. Negotiations with the second ranked firm may be undertaken in the same manner in order of ranking until an agreement is reached, and so forth

The actual RFP award is subject to the appropriate signature authority identified in the Procurement Code.

Theodore "TJ" White, Jr., CPPB
Procurement Manager

Jul 10, 2024

Date

TW/mm

Vendor Complaints or Grievances; Right to Protest

Unless otherwise governed by state or Federal law, this part shall govern the protest and appeal of Procurement decisions by the County. As used in Part A of Article 9 of the Procurement Code, the term "Bidder" includes anyone that submits a response to an invitation to bid or one who makes an offer in response to a solicitation (e.g., ITB, RFP, ITN), and is not limited solely to one that submits a bid in response to an Invitation to Bid (ITB).

- (1) Notice of Solicitations and Awards. The County shall provide notice of all solicitations and awards by electronic posting in accordance with the procedures and Florida law.
- (2) Solicitation Protest. Any prospective Bidder may file a solicitation protest concerning a solicitation.
 - (a) Basis of the Solicitation Protest: The alleged basis for a solicitation protest shall be limited to the following:
 - i. The terms, conditions or specifications of the solicitation are in violation of, or are inconsistent with this Code, Florida Statutes, County procedures and policies, or the terms of the solicitation at issue, including but not limited to the method of evaluating, ranking or awarding of the solicitation, reserving rights of further negotiations, or modifying or amending any resulting contract; or
 - ii. The solicitation instructions are unclear or contradictory.
 - (b) Timing and Content of the Solicitation Protest: The solicitation protest must be in writing and must be received by the Procurement Manager, twhite@alachuacounty.us by no later than the solicitation's question submission deadline. Failure to timely file a solicitation protest shall constitute a total and complete waiver of the Bidder's right to protest or appeal any solicitation defects, and shall bar the Bidder from subsequently raising such solicitation defects in any subsequent Award Protest, if any, or any other administrative or legal proceeding. In the event a solicitation protest is timely filed, the protesting party shall be deemed to have waived any and all solicitation defects that were not timely alleged in the protesting party's solicitation protest, and the protesting party shall be forever barred from subsequently raising or appealing said solicitation defects in a subsequent award protest, if any, or any other administrative or legal proceeding. The solicitation protest must include, at a minimum, the following information:
 - i. The name, address, e-mail and telephone number of the protesting party;
 - ii. The solicitation number and title;
 - iii. Information sufficient to establish that the protesting party has legal standing to file the solicitation Protest because:
 - 1. It has a substantial interest in and is aggrieved in connection with the solicitation; and
 - 2. That the protesting party is responsive, in accordance with the criteria set forth in the solicitation, unless the basis for the Solicitation Protest alleges that the criteria set forth in the solicitation is defective, in which case the protesting party must demonstrate that it is responsible in accordance with the criteria that the protesting party alleges should be used;
 - iv. A detailed statement of the basis for the protest;
 - v. References to section of the Code, Florida Statutes, County policies or procedure or solicitation term that the protesting party alleges have been violated by the County or that entitles the protesting party to the relief requested;
 - vi. All supporting evidence or documents that substantiate the protesting party's alleged basis for the protest; and
 - vii. The form of the relief requested.
 - (c) Review and Determination of Protest: If the Solicitation Protest is not timely, the Procurement Manager shall notify the protesting party that the Solicitation Protest is untimely and, therefore, rejected. The Procurement Manager shall consider all timely Solicitation Protests and may conduct any inquiry that the Procurement Manager deems necessary to make a determination regarding a protest. The Procurement Manager shall issue a written determination granting or denying the protest. The written determination shall contain a concise statement of the basis for the determination.

- (d) Appeal: If the protesting party is not satisfied with the Procurement Manager's determination, the protesting party may appeal the determination to the County Manager by filing a written appeal, which sets forth the basis upon which the appeal is based, including all supporting documentation. The scope of the appeal shall be limited to the basis alleged in the Solicitation Protest. The appeal must be filed with the Procurement Manager within five business days of the date on which the Procurement Manager's written determination was sent to the protesting party. Failure to timely file an appeal shall constitute a waiver of the protesting party's rights to an appeal of the Procurement Manager's determination, and the protesting party shall be forever barred from subsequently raising or appealing said Solicitation defects in a subsequent award protest, if any, or any other administrative or legal proceeding. After considering the appeal, the County Manager must determine whether the solicitation should stand, be revised, or be cancelled, and issue a written determination and provide copies of the determination to the protesting party. The determination of the County Manager shall be final and not subject to further appeal under this code.
- (3) Award Protest. Any Bidder who is not the intended awardee and who claims to be the rightful awardee may file an award protest. However, an award protest is not valid and shall be rejected for lack of standing if it does not demonstrate that the protesting party would be awarded the Solicitation if its protest is upheld.
 - (a) Basis of the Award Protest: The alleged basis for an Award Protest shall be limited to the following:
 - i. The protesting party was incorrectly deemed non-responsive due to an incorrect assessment of fact or law;
 - ii. The County failed to substantively follow the procedures or requirements specified in the solicitation documents, except for minor irregularities that were waived by the County in accordance with this Code, which resulted in a competitive disadvantage to the protesting party; and
 - iii. The County made a mathematical error in evaluating the responses to the solicitation, resulting in an incorrect score and not protesting party not being selected for award.
 - (b) Timing and Content of the Award Protest: The Award Protest must be in writing and must be received by the Procurement Manager, twhite@alachuacounty.us by no later than 3:00 PM on the third business day after the County's proposed Award decision was posted by the County. Failure to timely file an Award Protest shall constitute a total and complete waiver of the Bidder's right to protest or appeal the County's proposed Award decision in any administrative or legal proceeding. In the event an Award Protest is timely filed, the protesting party shall be deemed to have waived any and all proposed Award defects that were not timely alleged in the protesting party's Award Protest, and the protesting party shall be forever barred from subsequently raising or appealing said Award defects in any administrative or legal proceeding. The Award Protest must include, at a minimum, the following information:
 - i. The name, address, e-mail and telephone number of the protesting party;
 - ii. The Solicitation number and title;
 - iii. Information sufficient to establish that the protesting party's response was responsive to the Solicitation;
 - iv. Information sufficient to establish that the protesting party has legal standing to file the Solicitation Protest because:
 - 1. The protesting party submitted a response to the Solicitation or other basis for establishing legal standing;
 - The protesting party has a substantial interest in and is aggrieved in connection with the proposed Award decision; and
 - 3. The protesting party, and not any other bidder, should be awarded the Solicitation if the protesting party's Award Protest is upheld.
 - v. A detailed statement of the basis for the protest;
 - vi. References to section of the Code, Florida Statutes, County policies or procedure or solicitation term that the protesting party alleges have been violated by the County or that entitles the protesting party to the relief requested;

- vii. All supporting evidence or documents that substantiate the protesting party's alleged basis for the protest; and
- viii. The form of the relief requested.
- (c) Review and Determination of Protest: If the Award Protest is not timely, the Procurement Manager shall notify the protesting party that the Award Protests is untimely and, therefore, rejected. The Procurement Manager shall consider all timely Award Protests and may conduct any inquiry that the county Procurement Manager deems necessary to resolve the protest by mutual agreement or to make a determination regarding the protests. The Procurement Manager shall issue a written determination granting or denying each protest. The written determination shall contain a concise statement of the basis for the determination.

(d) Appeal:

- i. If the protesting party is not satisfied with the Procurement Manager's determination, the protesting party may appeal the determination to the County Manager by filing a written appeal, which sets forth the basis upon which the appeal is based. The scope of the appeal shall be limited to the basis alleged in the award protest. The appeal must be filed with the Procurement Manager within five business days of the date on which the Procurement Manager's written determination was mailed to the protesting party. Failure to timely file an appeal shall constitute a waiver of the protesting party's rights to an appeal of the Procurement Manager's determination, and the protesting party shall be forever barred from subsequently raising or appealing said award defects in any administrative or legal proceeding.
- ii. After reviewing the appeal, the County Manager will issue a written final determination and provide copies of the determination to the protesting party. Prior to issuing a final determination, the County Manager, in his or her discretion, may direct a hearing officer, or magistrate, to conduct an administrative hearing in connection with the protest and issue findings and recommendations to the County Manager. Prior to a hearing, if held, the Procurement Manager must file with the hearing officer the protest, any background information, and his or her written determination. The protesting party and the County shall equally share the cost of conducting any hearing, including the services of the hearing officer. If applicable, the County Manager may wait to issue a written final determination until after receipt of the findings and recommendations of the hearing officer. The determination of the County Manager shall be final and not subject to further appeal under this code.
- (4) Burden of Proof: Unless otherwise provide by Florida law, the burden of proof shall rest with the protesting party.
- (5) Stay of Procurements during Protests. In the event of a timely protest, the County shall not proceed further with the solicitation or with the award of the contract until the Procurement Manager, after consultation with the head of the using department, makes a written determination that the award of the solicitation without delay is:
 - (a) Necessary to avoid an immediate and serious danger to the public health, safety, or welfare;
 - (b) Necessary to avoid or substantial reduce significant damage to County property;
 - (c) Necessary to avoid or substantially reduce interruption of essential County Services; or;
 - (d) Otherwise in the best interest of the public.

Alachua County, Florida

Alachua County, Florida

Procurement

Theodore "TJ" White, Jr. CPPB, Procurement Manager County Administration Building, Gainesville, FL 32601 (352) 374-5202

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY <u>RFP No. 25-74-MM</u> Annual Monitoring of Disaster Debris and Recovery Activities

RESPONSE DEADLINE: May 22, 2024 at 2:00 pm

Tuesday, July 9, 2024

SOLICITATION OVERVIEW

Project Title	Annual Monitoring of Disaster Debris and Recovery Activities
Project ID	25-74-MM
Project Type	Request For Proposal
Release Date	April 17, 2024
Due Date	May 22, 2024
Procurement Agent	Mandy Mullins
Evaluators	Patrick Irby, Jeffery Klugh, David Peaton, David Wood
Project Description	Alachua County Board of County Commissioners is seeking proposals from licensed professionals (hereinafter, referred to as Consultants) for the provision of Annual Monitoring of Disaster Debris Recovery Activities (RFP) for the benefit of Solid Waste & Resource Recovery Department.

Introduction

Summary

Alachua County Board of County Commissioners (hereinafter, the "County" or "Alachua County") is seeking proposals from qualified individuals or entities (hereinafter, referred to as "Consultant" or the "proposer") for the provision of 25-74-MM Annual Monitoring of Disaster Debris and Recovery Activities.

The following apply to this request for proposal: <u>Instruction to Proposers</u>, <u>Terms and Conditions</u>, <u>Insurance</u>, <u>Scope of Work</u>, <u>Proposal Requirements and Organization</u>, <u>Request for Proposal Selection Procedures</u>, <u>Evaluation Phases</u>, <u>Attachments</u>, <u>Submittals and Sample Agreement</u>.

Alachua County Board of County Commissioners is seeking proposals from licensed professionals (hereinafter, referred to as Consultants) for the provision of **Annual Monitoring of Disaster Debris Recovery Activities (RFP)** for the benefit of **Solid Waste & Resource Recovery Department.**

Background

Location: Alachua County is located in North Central Florida. The County government seat is situated in Gainesville. Gainesville is located 70 miles southwest of Jacksonville, 129 miles southeast of Tallahassee, 140 miles northeast of Tampa - St. Petersburg and 109 miles northwest of Orlando. Alachua County has a population of over 250,000 and a regional airport. The County itself consists of a total area of 969 square miles.

Form of Government: Alachua County is governed by a Board of five (5) elected County Commissioners and operates under the established County Manager Charter form of government. In addition to the five County Commissioners, there are five elected Constitutional Officers: Supervisor of Elections, Sheriff, Clerk of the Court, Tax Collector, and the Property Appraiser. The Alachua County Attorney also reports to the Board.

Contact Information

Mandy Mullins

Procurement Agent I

Email: mmmullins@alachuacounty.us

Phone: (352) 384-3090

Department:

Solid Waste & Resource Recovery

Timeline

Solicitation Release Date	April 17, 2024
Question Submission Deadline	May 12, 2024, 12:00am
Solicitation Submission Deadline	May 22, 2024, 2:00pm

Solicitation Opening – Teams Meeting

May 22, 2024, 2:00pm

The scheduled solicitation opening will occur via Teams Meeting; the information to join is provided below. Attendance (live viewing) of the proposals opening is not required.

Join Microsoft Teams meeting Join on your computer, mobile app or room device

Click here to join the meeting

https://teams.microsoft.com/l/meetup-join/19%3ameeting ZTQyYzk5YzMtZDc4ZS00N 2IxLTljMWUtMjAwNTQwN2NjNTNi%40thread.v 2/0?context=%7b%22Tid%22%3a%2290fc851d -766d-4d7b-a09cbfbf1d2dac94%22%2c%22Oid%22%3a%22c82a b8e7-6ee1-4cd5-9191-4aa322a1828f%22%7d

Meeting ID: 259 625 692 241

Passcode: yX9G3Q

Download Teams | Join on the web

Or call in (audio only)

+1 469-998-7938,,366862554# United States,

Dallas

Phone Conference ID: 366 862 554#

If you have a disability and need an accommodation in order to participate, please contact the Alachua County ADA Coordinator at ADA@alachuacounty.us or Equal Opportunity Office at 352-374-5275 at least 7 business days prior to the event. If you are unable to notify the Office prior to the event, please inform an Alachua County employee that you need assistance. TDD/TTY users, please call 711 (Florida Relay Service).

SOLICITATION STATUS HISTORY

Page 3

Date	Changed To	Changed By
Apr 3, 2024 6:53 AM	Draft	Mandy Mullins
Apr 3, 2024 7:28 AM	Review	Mandy Mullins
Apr 16, 2024 6:15 AM	Final	Mandy Mullins
Apr 16, 2024 6:15 AM	Post Pending	Mandy Mullins
Apr 16, 2024 6:18 AM	Final	Mandy Mullins
Apr 16, 2024 6:19 AM	Post Pending	Mandy Mullins
Apr 17, 2024 6:00 AM	Open	OpenGov Bot
May 22, 2024 2:00 PM	Pending	OpenGov Bot
Jun 5, 2024 1:22 PM	Evaluation	Mandy Mullins

PROPOSALS RECEIVED

Status	Vendor	Contact Info	Submission Date
Submitted	CMTS LLC	South East Operatons se_ops@cmtsllc.com (904) 739-9804	May 22, 2024 11:20 AM
Submitted	DebrisTech, LLC	Josh Daffern jdaffern@debristech.com	May 22, 2024 11:44 AM
Submitted	Disaster Program & Operations Inc.	Gabrielle Benigni gbenigni@dpando.com (561) 436-3383	May 22, 2024 1:19 PM
Submitted	Goodwyn Mills Cawood	Niki Peterson niki.peterson@gmcnetwork.com (813) 678-2420	May 15, 2024 10:40 AM
Submitted	Rostan Solutions LLC	Travis Mays tmays@rostan.com (813) 333-7042	May 22, 2024 12:00 PM
Submitted	Tetra Tech, Inc.	Alex Renaux tdr.mktg@tetratech.com (321) 441-8511	May 22, 2024 9:34 AM
Submitted	Thompson Consulting Services, LLC	Hannah Fleming bbastian@thompsoncs.net (407) 792-0018	May 22, 2024 9:01 AM

VENDOR QUESTIONNAIRE PASS/FAIL

Question Title	CMTS LLC	DebrisTech, LLC	Disaster Program & Operations Inc.	Goodwyn Mills Cawood
Corporate Resolution Granting Signature	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
State Compliance	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
Public Record Trade Secret or Proprietary Confidential Business Information Exemption Request	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
Public Record Trade Secret or Proprietary Confidential Business Information Exemption Request	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
Public Record Trade Secret or Proprietary Confidential Business Information Exemption Request	No Response	No Response	No Response	No Response
Drug Free Workplace	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
Vendor Eligibility	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
NON-SBE Subcontractors	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
Responsible Agent Designation	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
Conflict of Interest	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
Request for Proposal Submittal Documentation	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass
Acknowledgement of Requirements	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass

Question Title	Rostan Solutions LLC	Tetra Tech, Inc.	Thompson Consulting Services, LLC
Corporate Resolution Granting Signature	Pass	Pass	Pass
State Compliance	Pass	Pass	Pass
Public Record Trade Secret or Proprietary Confidential Business Information Exemption Request	Pass	Pass	Pass

Question Title	Rostan Solutions LLC	Tetra Tech, Inc.	Thompson Consulting Services, LLC
Public Record Trade Secret or Proprietary Confidential Business Information Exemption Request	Pass	Pass	Pass
Public Record Trade Secret or Proprietary Confidential Business Information Exemption Request	Pass	No Response	No Response
Drug Free Workplace	Pass	Pass	Pass
Vendor Eligibility	Pass	Pass	Pass
NON-SBE Subcontractors	Pass	Pass	Pass
Responsible Agent Designation	Pass	Pass	Pass
Conflict of Interest	Pass	Pass	Pass
Request for Proposal Submittal Documentation	Pass	Pass	Pass
Acknowledgement of Requirements	_		Pass

PRICING RESPONSES

FEE SCHEDULE (Table 1 of 4)

Position

	Fee Schedule	CMTS LLC			DebrisTech, LLC			
Line Item	Position	Hour	\$/Hour	\$/10 Hour Day	\$/12 Hour Day	\$/Hour	\$/10 Hour Day	\$/12 Hour Day
1	Project Manager	hr	\$156.05	1700.37	2152.38	\$55.00	550.00	660.00
2	Operations Manager	hr	\$136.29	1483.01	1875.74	\$50.00	500.00	600.00
3	Field Supervisor	hr	\$79.48	858.10	1080.40	\$45.00	450.00	540.00
4	Field Monitor	hr	\$54.78	585.40	734.60	\$36.00	360.00	432.00
5	Tower Monitor	hr	\$67.13	722.25	907.50	\$36.00	360.00	432.00
6	Drop-off Site Monitor	hr	\$57.59	616.34	771.95	\$36.00	360.00	432.00
7	Billing/Invoice/Data Entry Tracking	hr	\$74.85	813.70	1028.59	\$0.00	0.00	0.00
Total	'	,	\$626.17			\$258.00		

FEE SCHEDULE (Table 2 of 4)

Position

	Fee Schedule			Disaster Program & Operations Inc.			Goodwyn Mills Cawood		
Line Item	Position	Hour	\$/Hour	\$/10 Hour Day	\$/12 Hour Day	\$/Hour	\$/10 Hour Day	\$/12 Hour Day	
1	Project Manager	hr	\$90.00	\$90.00	\$90.00	\$68.00	680.00	816.00	
2	Operations Manager	hr	\$80.00	\$80.00	\$80.00	\$53.00	530.00	636.00	
3	Field Supervisor	hr	\$50.00	\$50.00	\$50.00	\$48.00	480.00	576.00	
4	Field Monitor	hr	\$35.00	\$35.00	\$35.00	\$33.50	335.00	402.00	
5	Tower Monitor	hr	\$35.00	\$35.00	\$35.00	\$33.50	335.00	402.00	
6	Drop-off Site Monitor	hr	\$35.00	\$35.00	\$35.00	\$33.50	335.00	402.00	
7	Billing/Invoice/Data Entry Tracking	hr	\$40.00	\$40.00	\$40.00	\$49.00	490.00	588.00	
Total	'	,	\$365.00			\$318.50			

FEE SCHEDULE (Table 3 of 4)

Position

Fee Schedule			Rostan Solutions LLC			Tetra Tech, Inc.		
Line Item	Position	Hour	\$/Hour	\$/10 Hour Day	\$/12 Hour Day	\$/Hour	\$/10 Hour Day	\$/12 Hour Day
1	Project Manager	hr	\$80.00	80.00	80.00	\$60.00	600.00	720.00
2	Operations Manager	hr	\$65.00	65.00	65.00	\$45.00	450.00	540.00
3	Field Supervisor	hr	\$48.00	48.00	48.00	\$45.00	450.00	540.00
4	Field Monitor	hr	\$35.00	35.00	35.00	\$31.50	315.00	378.00
5	Tower Monitor	hr	\$36.50	36.50	36.50	\$31.50	315.00	378.00
6	Drop-off Site Monitor	hr	\$35.00	35.00	35.00	\$29.50	295.00	354.00
7	Billing/Invoice/Data Entry Tracking	hr	\$0.00	0.00	0.00	\$35.00	350.00	420.00
Total	'	,	\$299.50			\$277.50		

FEE SCHEDULE (Table 4 of 4)

Position

Page 7

	Fee Schedule	Thompson Consulting Services, LLC			
Line Item	Position	Hour	\$/Hour	\$/10 Hour Day	\$/12 Hour Day
1	Project Manager	hr	\$68.24	682.40	818.88
2	Operations Manager	hr	\$0.00	0.00	0.00
3	Field Supervisor	hr	\$46.50	465.00	558.00
4	Field Monitor	hr	\$32.19	321.90	386.28
5	Tower Monitor	hr	\$31.14	311.40	373.68
6	Drop-off Site Monitor	hr	\$28.00	280.00	336.00
7	Billing/Invoice/Data Entry Tracking	hr	\$55.00	550.00	660.00
Total			\$261.07		

FEE SCHEDULE (Table 1 of 4)

Equipment

Fee Schedule				CMTS LLC			DebrisTech, LLC		
Line Item	Equipmnet	Day/Per diem/Unit/Bx	\$/Day	\$/Week	\$/Month	\$/Day	\$/Week	\$/Month	
11	Monitoring vehicle (Midsize or smaller per Enterprise)	day	\$65.00	455.00	1950.00	\$0.00	Pass Through Cost	Pass Through	
13	Digital camera	day	\$0.00	0.00	0.00	\$0.00	0.00	0.00	
14	Laptop computer	day	\$10.00	70.00	300.00	\$0.00	0.00	0.00	
15	Cellular phone with GPS capabilities	day	\$30.00	N/A	900.00	\$0.00	N/A	0.00	
16	Staff lodging per person per diem per FS 112.061	Per diem	\$107.00	N/A	3210.00	\$80.00	N/A	FS Rate	
17	Food per diem per person per diem per FS 112.61	Per diem	\$59.00	N/A	1770.00	\$36.00	N/A	FS Rate	
18	Operating license for GIS app. (per County computer) PER UNIT	Unit	\$0.00	N/A	N/A	\$0.00	N/A	N/A	
19	Load ticket (box of 10,000) (BOX OF 10,000)	Вох	\$3,500.00	N/A	N/A	\$0.00	N/A	N/A	
Total			\$3,771.00			\$116.00			

FEE SCHEDULE (Table 2 of 4)

Equipment

	Fee Schedule		Disaster Pr	ogram & Ope	rations Inc.	Good	dwyn Mills Cav	wood
Line Item	Equipmnet	Day/Per diem/Unit/Bx	\$/Day	\$/Week	\$/Month	\$/Day	\$/Week	\$/Month
11	Monitoring vehicle (Midsize or smaller per Enterprise)	day	\$20.00	\$150.00	\$600.00	\$0.00	0	0
13	Digital camera	day	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	0	0
14	Laptop computer	day	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	0	0
15	Cellular phone with GPS capabilities	day	\$5.00	N/A	\$75.00	\$0.00	N/A	0
16	Staff lodging per person per diem per FS 112.061	Per diem	\$125.00	N/A	\$3075.00	\$0.00	N/A	0
17	Food per diem per person per diem per FS 112.61	Per diem	\$50.00	N/A	\$1500.00	\$0.00	N/A	0
18	Operating license for GIS app. (per County computer) PER UNIT	Unit	\$10.00	N/A	N/A	\$0.00	N/A	N/A
19	Load ticket (box of 10,000) (BOX OF 10,000)	Вох	\$0.00	N/A	N/A	\$0.00	N/A	N/A
Total			\$210.00			\$0.00		

FEE SCHEDULE (Table 3 of 4)

Equipment

	Fee Schedule			Rostan Solut	tions LLC	1	etra Tech, In	c.
Line Item	Equipmnet	Day/Per diem/Unit/Bx	\$/Day	\$/Week	\$/Month	\$/Day	\$/Week	\$/Month
11	Monitoring vehicle (Midsize or smaller per Enterprise)	day	\$48.00	N/A	Line Item 11: Rostan reserves for use by Project Manager, Operations Manager, and Field Supervisor roles only. Monitor roles may incur costs at the current FY GSA mileage rate. Current (2024) negotiated rates with Enterprise/National include a daily rate for Midsize at \$48/day plus applicable taxes and fees. Weekly rate is 6 times daily rate. Monthly rate is 4 times weekly rate. Actual costs will be billed without markup.	\$40.00		
13	Digital camera	day	\$0.00	0.00	0.00	\$0.00		
14	Laptop computer	day	\$0.00	0.00	0.00	\$0.00		
15	Cellular phone with GPS capabilities	day	\$0.00	N/A	0.00	\$0.00	N/A	
16	Staff lodging per person per diem per FS 112.061	Per diem	\$107.00	N/A	Line Item 16: Will be billed at the GSA rate for the current Fiscal Year.	\$80.00	N/A	
17	Food per diem per person per diem per FS 112.61	Per diem	\$59.00	N/A	Line Item 17: Will be billed at the GSA rate for the current Fiscal Year.	\$36.00	N/A	
18	Operating license for GIS app. (per County computer) PER UNIT	Unit	\$0.00	N/A	N/A	\$0.00	N/A	N/A
19	Load ticket (box of 10,000) (BOX OF 10,000)	Вох	\$0.00	N/A	N/A	\$0.00	N/A	N/A
Total			\$214.00			\$156.00		

FEE SCHEDULE (Table 4 of 4)

Equipment

	Fee Schedule			on Consulting Serv	vices, LLC
Line Item	Equipmnet	Day/Per diem/Unit/Bx	\$/Day	\$/Week	\$/Month
11	Monitoring vehicle (Midsize or smaller per Enterprise)	day	\$58.66	268.97	1132.69
13	Digital camera	day	\$0.00	0.00	0.00
14	Laptop computer	day	\$35.00	254.00	1065.00
15	Cellular phone with GPS capabilities	day	\$0.00	N/A	0.00
16	Staff lodging per person per diem per FS 112.061	Per diem	\$107.00	N/A	3255.00
17	Food per diem per person per diem per FS 112.61	Per diem	\$59.00	N/A	1795.00
18	Operating license for GIS app. (per County computer) PER UNIT	Unit	\$0.00	N/A	N/A
19	Load ticket (box of 10,000) (BOX OF 10,000)	Вох	\$0.00	N/A	N/A
Total			\$259.66		

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Approved, Unanswered Questions

Approved, Answers Provided

1. Proposal Organization

Apr 17, 2024 11:31 AM

Question: In the RFP document, Sections 6.2 and 6.4 both ask for project team identification and resumes. Under which section should this information be provided?

Apr 17, 2024 11:31 AM

Answered by Mandy Mullins: Per the RFP, please include the resumes in appropriate sections/tabs *Apr 17, 2024 9:35 PM*

2. Incumbent

Apr 17, 2024 11:30 AM

Question: Who is the County's incumbent Debris Monitoring consultant?

Apr 17, 2024 11:30 AM

Answered by Mandy Mullins: Witt O' Brien's LLC

Apr 17, 2024 9:35 PM

3. Section 5.1.B. (Page 17 of the RFP)

Apr 24, 2024 1:34 PM

Question: Can the roles/responsibilities listed in Section 5.1.B. (pg. 17 of the RFP) be removed as these are usually handled by the debris removal contractor?

Apr 24, 2024 1:34 PM

Answered by Mandy Mullins: See Addendum #1.

May 1, 2024 9:06 AM

4. Section 5.3.A. (Page 20 of the RFP)

Apr 24, 2024 1:34 PM

Question: Can the roles/responsibilities listed in Section 5.3.A. (pg. 20 of the RFP) be removed as these are usually handled by the debris removal contractor?

Apr 24, 2024 1:34 PM

Answered by Mandy Mullins: See Addendum #1.

May 1, 2024 9:06 AM

5. Sections 5.3.C., D., & E. (Pages 20-21 of the RFP)

Apr 24, 2024 1:35 PM

Question: Can the roles/responsibilities listed in Sections 5.3.C., D., & E. (pgs. 20 & 21 of the RFP) be removed as these are usually handled by the debris removal contractor?

Apr 24, 2024 1:35 PM

Answered by Mandy Mullins: See addendum #1

May 1, 2024 9:06 AM

6. Sections 5.3.O. & P. (Page 22 of the RFP)

Apr 24, 2024 1:39 PM

Question: Can the roles/responsibilities listed in Sections 5.3.O. & P. (pg. 22 of the RFP) be removed as these are usually handled by the debris removal contractor?

Apr 24, 2024 1:39 PM

Answered by Mandy Mullins: See Addendum #1

May 1, 2024 9:06 AM

7. Rate Sheet Question (Page 40 of the RFP)

Apr 24, 2024 1:40 PM

Question: The following positions/equipment, listed on the rate sheet on pg. 40 of RFP, directly relate to the roles/responsibilities of the debris removal contractor: "Debris Site Security", Lift Tower", Portable Scale (20,000lb min. capacity)", & "Portable Generator With Lights". Can these be removed as these are handled by debris removal contractor?

Apr 24, 2024 1:40 PM

Answered by Mandy Mullins: See Addendum #1

May 1, 2024 9:06 AM

8. Previous Emergency Response Debris Plan

Apr 29, 2024 3:12 PM

Question: Could you provide a copy of the County's previous emergency response debris plan?

Apr 29, 2024 3:12 PM

Answered by Mandy Mullins: See Official Notice #1.

May 1, 2024 9:06 AM

9. Fee Schedule Question

May 6, 2024 9:56 AM

Question: The Fee Schedule (pages 39-40 of the RFP) includes LCATs for staff lodging and per diem, per FS 112.061 and FS 112.61, respectively. Can the County confirm that all other project-related expenses should be billed at-cost, and without markup? All expenses will be submitted with full supporting documentation, in compliance with FEMA guidelines for reimbursement.

May 6, 2024 9:56 AM

Answered by Mandy Mullins: Yes, All project related expenses should be billed at cost with no markup, with all supporting documentation in compliance with FEMA guidelines for reimbursement.

May 8, 2024 10:49 AM

10. Debris Site Security

May 3, 2024 11:23 AM

Question: Section 5.1 General Requirements - Item B8: With the changes made via addendum #1, should item 8 (Debris Site Security: provides security at debris disposal and public drop-off sites when sites are not open) be removed?

May 3, 2024 11:23 AM

Answered by Mandy Mullins: See Addendum #2

May 7, 2024 10:09 AM

11. Fee Schedule Question

May 10, 2024 4:49 PM

Question: The manual process of filling out load tickets can jeopardize proper FEMA reimbursement if human error occurs. Utilizing electronic load tickets, computer tablets, and systems employing electronic contractor IDs with an Automated Debris Management System (ADMS) has become the industry standard and is critical for any successful debris operation. Because of the factors listed, we would like to confirm that any charges for the use of an ADMS will be included in the hourly rates provided and not as a separate hourly rate, separate flat rate, or substitutional charge for any listed position.

May 10, 2024 4:49 PM

Answered by David Wood: ADMS should be included in hourly rate.

May 20, 2024 7:50 AM

ADDENDA & NOTICES

ADDENDA ISSUED:

Addendum #1

May 1, 2024 9:06 AM

Please use the <u>See What Changed</u> link to view all the changes made by this addendum.

Addendum #2

May 7, 2024 10:09 AM

Please use the link to view all the changes made by this addendum.

ADDENDA ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS:

Addendum #1

Proposal	Confirmed	Confirmed At	Confirmed By
Goodwyn Mills Cawood	X	May 7, 2024 11:36 AM	Niki Peterson

Thompson Consulting Services, LLC	Х	May 13, 2024 7:32 PM	Hannah Fleming
Tetra Tech, Inc.	X	May 20, 2024 6:26 PM	Alex Renaux
CMTS LLC	X	May 1, 2024 9:43 AM	K. Hezekiah Harris, II
DebrisTech, LLC	X	May 21, 2024 10:24 AM	Josh Daffern
Rostan Solutions LLC	X	May 20, 2024 8:04 PM	Denise Jankauskas
Disaster Program & Operations Inc.	X	May 22, 2024 9:38 AM	Gabrielle Benigni

Addendum #2

Proposal	Confirmed	Confirmed At	Confirmed By
Goodwyn Mills Cawood	X	May 7, 2024 11:36 AM	Niki Peterson
Thompson Consulting Services, LLC	X	May 13, 2024 7:32 PM	Hannah Fleming
Tetra Tech, Inc.	Х	May 20, 2024 6:26 PM	Alex Renaux
CMTS LLC	Х	May 8, 2024 10:27 AM	K. Hezekiah Harris, II
DebrisTech, LLC	Х	May 21, 2024 10:25 AM	Josh Daffern
Rostan Solutions LLC	X	May 20, 2024 8:04 PM	Denise Jankauskas
Disaster Program & Operations Inc.	Х	May 22, 2024 9:38 AM	Gabrielle Benigni

NOTICES ISSUED:

Notice #1

May 1, 2024 9:06 AM

Attachments:

· Disaster Debris Management Plan (Complete) 6.3.21reduced

Notice #2

May 22, 2024 2:14 PM

Attachments:

· BT 25-74-MM Annual Monitoring of Disaster Debris

Notice #3

Jun 28, 2024 10:56 AM

Alachua County Procurement announces a public meeting to which all persons are invited to attend an Evaluation Committee Meeting on **Monday**, **July 8**, **2024 at 1:00 pm**, to discuss and update of the proposals for competitive solicitation for <u>RFP 25-74-MM Annual Monitoring of Disaster Debris and Recovery Activities</u>. The final recommendations will be sent to the Alachua County Board of County Commissioners.

Topic: Public Notice of Evaluation Committee Meeting for RFP 25-74-MM Annual Monitoring of

<u>Disaster Debris and Recovery Activities</u>

Time: Monday, July 8, 2024 at 1:00 pm Eastern Time (US and Canada)

Location: Alachua County Administration Building

3rd Floor Conference Room

12 SE 1st Street, Gainesville, FL 32601

Join Virtually Microsoft Teams

https://teams.microsoft.com/l/meetup-

Meeting ID: 275 084 020 689

Passcode: 5Wuo6W

Dial-in by phone

+1 469-998-7938,,133167775# United States, Dallas

Phone conference ID: 133 167 775#

These meetings are subject to change and/or cancellation. If you have any questions regarding these meetings, please call 352.384.3090. All persons are advised that, if they decide to contest any decision made at any of these meetings, they will need a record of the proceedings and, for such purpose, they may need to ensure that verbatim record of the proceedings is made which record includes the testimony and evidence upon which the appeal is to be based. If any accommodations are needed for persons with disabilities, please contact the County's Equal Opportunity Office at (352)374-5275 or (TTD) (352)-374-5284.

Attachments:

· PM Notice RFP 25-74-MM Annual Monitoring of Disaster Debris and Recovery Activities

EVALUATION

PHASE 1

EVALUATORS

Name	Title	Agreement Accepted On
Patrick Irby	Waste Collection & Alternatives Manager	Jun 18, 2024 2:32 PM
Jeffery Klugh	Assistant Waste Collection & Alternatives Manager	Jun 27, 2024 7:58 AM
David Peaton	Asst. Director of Emergency Management	Jun 27, 2024 8:05 AM
David Wood	Professional Geologist	Jun 18, 2024 1:28 PM

EVALUATION CRITERIA

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Ability and Competency of the Consultant	Points Based	50 (28.6% of Total)

Description:

- A. Did the Consultant provide a brief statement of background, organization, and size?
- B. Does the Consultant have experience with past work of similar scope and budget?

 Has the Consultant recently done this type of work for a state, or local government in the past?
- C. Does the Consultant's workload and ability satisfy County requirements for this project?
- D. Is any of this work to be subcontracted? If so, what are the abilities of the firm(s) to be subcontracted?

Based on questions above, award points as follows:

- A. 50 40 points Exceptional Experience
- B. 39 20 points Average Experience
- C. 19 0 points Minimal Experience

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Project Manager and Project Team's Competency and Qualifications	Points Based	30 (17.1% of Total)

Description:

- A. Was a project team identified?
- B. Do the Project Manager, Project Team and Key Staff have experience with projects comparable in size and scope?
- C. Do the Project Manager, Project Team and Key Staff have experience with state or local government?
- D. Does the Project Manager have a stable job history?
- E. Is the team makeup appropriate for the project?
- F. Are there factors, such as unique abilities, which would make a noticeable (positive) impact on the project?
- G. Was a point of contact identified?
- H. Was there an alternate to the point of contact identified?
- I. Are the subcontractors, if any, identified?
- J. Does the subcontractor have experience with projects comparable in size and scope?

Based on questions above, award points as follows:

- A. 30 20 points Exceptional Experience
- B. 19 10 points Average Experience

C. 9 - 0 points - Minimal Experience

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Project Understanding and Approach	Points Based	50 (28.6% of Total)

Description:

- A. Did the proposal indicate a thorough understanding of the project, the scope, and objectives through a concise narrative?
- B. Did the Consultant describe the approach to the provision of services as required and the specific work plan to be employed to implement it?
- C. Is the appropriate emphasis placed on the various work tasks?
- D. Did the firm develop a workable approach to the project?
- E. Does the proposal specifically address the County's needs or is it "generic" in content?
- F. Does the proposal indicate how this project fits into the total workload of the Consultant during the project period?

Based on questions above, award points as follows:

- A. 50 40 points Exceptional Experience
- B. 39 20 points Average Experience
- C. 19 0 points Minimal Experience

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Ability to meet Project Schedule and Budget Requirements	Points Based	30 (17.1% of Total)

Description:

- A. Did Consultant provide a draft project schedule that includes: milestones, individual tasks and major deliverable deadlines?
- B. Is the draft project schedule reasonable based on quantity of personnel assigned to the project?
- C. Did the Consultant provide the Project Manager, Project Team, and Key Staff's percentage of involvement, tasks and/or hours assigned?
- D. Are the hours assigned to the various team members for each task appropriate?
- E. Is the pricing provided reasonable for the project's tasks?
- F. Is the pricing in line with the County's budget?

G. Does the information contained in the proposal indicate that the firm will, or will not, meet time and budget requirement?

Based on questions above, award points as follows:

- A. 30 20 points Exceptional Experience
- B. 19 10 points Average Experience
- C. 9 0 points Minimal Experience

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Proposal Organization	Points Based	10 (5.7% of Total)

Description:

- A. Was proposal organization per the RFP? Did Consultant include a letter of interest?
- B. Was all required paperwork submitted and completed appropriately?
- C. Did the proposal contain an excessive amount of generic boilerplate, resumes, pages per resume, photographs, etc.?

Based on questions above, award points as follows:

- A. 10 8 points Exceptional Experience
- B. 7 5 points Average Experience
- C. 4 0 points Minimal Experience

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Volume of Previous Work (VOW) awarded by the County	Points Based	5 (2.9% of Total)

Description:

Points Provided by Procurement.

AGGREGATE SCORES SUMMARY

Vendor	Patrick Irby	Jeffery Klugh	David Peaton	David Wood
Tetra Tech, Inc.	150	166	143	164
Goodwyn Mills Cawood	153	166	133	164
DebrisTech, LLC	146	157	145	164

Vendor	Patrick Irby	Jeffery Klugh	David Peaton	David Wood
Rostan Solutions LLC	141	154	136	164
Thompson Consulting Services, LLC	153	162	99	162
Disaster Program & Operations Inc.	139	138	86	158
CMTS LLC	131	140	94	152

Vendor	Total Score (Max Score 175)
Tetra Tech, Inc.	155.75
Goodwyn Mills Cawood	154
DebrisTech, LLC	153
Rostan Solutions LLC	148.75
Thompson Consulting Services, LLC	144
Disaster Program & Operations Inc.	130.25
CMTS LLC	129.25

VENDOR SCORES BY EVALUATION CRITERIA

Vendor	Ability and Competency of the Consultant Points Based 50 Points (28.6%)	Project Manager and Project Team's Competency and Qualifications Points Based 30 Points (17.1%)	Project Understanding and Approach Points Based 50 Points (28.6%)	Ability to meet Project Schedule and Budget Requirements Points Based 30 Points (17.1%)
Tetra Tech, Inc.	47	25.3	44.5	25.3
Goodwyn Mills Cawood	45.3	26	44.5	24.5
DebrisTech, LLC	47.5	23.5	45	24.5
Rostan Solutions LLC	45.5	24.5	43.8	21.8
Thompson Consulting Services, LLC	45.3	23.5	41	21
Disaster Program & Operations Inc.	40.8	22.3	38.8	18
CMTS LLC	40.8	24	38.3	13

Vendor	Proposal Organization Points Based 10 Points (5.7%)	Volume of Previous Work (VOW) awarded by the County Points Based 5 Points (2.9%)	Total Score (Max Score 175)
Tetra Tech, Inc.	8.8	5	155.75
Goodwyn Mills Cawood	8.8	5	154
DebrisTech, LLC	7.5	5	153
Rostan Solutions LLC	8.3	5	148.75
Thompson Consulting Services, LLC	8.3	5	144
Disaster Program & Operations Inc.	5.5	5	130.25
CMTS LLC	8.3	5	129.25

INDIVIDUAL PROPOSAL SCORES

CMTS LLC

Ability and Competency of the Consultant | Points Based | 50 Points (28.6%)

Patrick Irby: 37

majority of experience listed was not in monitoring; sub is located in Jax

Jeffery Klugh: 50

Consultant has extensive providing monitoring for disaster debris cleanup and FEMA reimbursement support.

David Peaton: 30

David Wood: 46

Project Manager and Project Team's Competency and Qualifications | Points Based | 30 Points (17.1%)

Patrick Irby: 25

Jeffery Klugh: 27

All of the listed team members have favorable experience and job histories. Listed subcontractor also has experience and resources needed to perform well.

David Peaton: 20

David Wood: 24

Project Understanding and Approach | Points Based | 50 Points (28.6%)

Patrick Irby: 46

Jeffery Klugh: 40

Consultant has a good understanding of the project and a favorable approach. Submission did not have specific Alachua County data.

David Peaton: 22

David Wood: 45

Ability to meet Project Schedule and Budget Requirements | Points Based | 30 Points (17.1%)

Patrick Irby: 10

very high price

Jeffery Klugh: 10

Proposed rates are high.

David Peaton: 10

Pricing compared to the other submissions seem substantially higher

David Wood: 22

Highest priced vendor

Proposal Organization | Points Based | 10 Points (5.7%)

Patrick Irby: 8

Jeffery Klugh: 8

Some of the info was standard boilerplate

David Peaton: 7

David Wood: 10

Volume of Previous Work (VOW) awarded by the County | Points Based | 5 Points (2.9%)

Patrick Irby: 5

\$0

Jeffery Klugh: 5

\$0

David Peaton: 5

\$0

David Wood: 5

\$0

DebrisTech, LLC

Ability and Competency of the Consultant | Points Based | 50 Points (28.6%)

Patrick Irby: 50

Jeffery Klugh: 47

Consultant did not indicate workload.

David Peaton: 45

Consultant's experience appear to be very robust in this field

David Wood: 48

Project Manager and Project Team's Competency and Qualifications | Points Based | 30 Points (17.1%)

Patrick Irby: 19

Jeffery Klugh: 25

Specific team for Alachua County not identified

David Peaton: 23

David Wood: 27

Project Understanding and Approach | Points Based | 50 Points (28.6%)

Patrick Irby: 45

Jeffery Klugh: 48

DebrisTech's approach appears to be comprehensive; from using tech during operations to having trained monitors on standby. Using drones, mobile command centers and 360 cameras for responsible areas is a great approach.

David Peaton: 40

Proposal appears to be very specific to Alachua County

David Wood: 47

Ability to meet Project Schedule and Budget Requirements | Points Based | 30 Points (17.1%)

Patrick Irby: 21

Jeffery Klugh: 25

No specifics on AC assignments/hours given, but overall good pricing.

David Peaton: 25

David Wood: 27

Proposal Organization | Points Based | 10 Points (5.7%)

Patrick Irby: 6

effort to avoid repetition required hunting through document

Jeffery Klugh: 7

Proposal had a large amount of good, but generic info about the Consultant. Some repeat of staff bios.

David Peaton: 7

David Wood: 10

Volume of Previous Work (VOW) awarded by the County | Points Based | 5 Points (2.9%)

Patrick Irby: 5

\$0

Jeffery Klugh: 5

\$0

David Peaton: 5

\$0

David Wood: 5

\$0

Disaster Program & Operations Inc.

Ability and Competency of the Consultant | Points Based | 50 Points (28.6%)

Patrick Irby: 44

Jeffery Klugh: 48

Consultant is qualified in the monitoring of debris cleanup and FEMA reimbursement.

David Peaton: 25

David Wood: 46

Project Manager and Project Team's Competency and Qualifications | Points Based | 30 Points (17.1%)

Patrick Irby: 27

Jeffery Klugh: 20

The staff appears to be well qualified, but a specific team and contacts for AC were not identified

David Peaton: 15

David Wood: 27

Project Understanding and Approach | Points Based | 50 Points (28.6%)

Patrick Irby: 43

Jeffery Klugh: 45

Solid approach, but no specifics listed for Alachua County.

David Peaton: 21

Proposal seemed very generic and not very specific to Alachua County

David Wood: 46

Ability to meet Project Schedule and Budget Requirements | Points Based | 30 Points (17.1%)

Patrick Irby: 17

Jeffery Klugh: 15

No Specifics for Alachua County listed; Prices on the high side

David Peaton: 15

David Wood: 25

Proposal Organization | Points Based | 10 Points (5.7%)

Patrick Irby: 3

missing section 6.2; 6.5 mislabeled

Jeffery Klugh: 5

Proposal was out of order for this RFP and contained references to previous submittals, also the schedule and costs table submitted is difficult to read and follow.

David Peaton: 5

David Wood: 9

Volume of Previous Work (VOW) awarded by the County | Points Based | 5 Points (2.9%)

Patrick Irby: 5

\$0

Jeffery Klugh: 5

\$0

David Peaton: 5

\$0

David Wood: 5

\$0

Goodwyn Mills Cawood

Ability and Competency of the Consultant | Points Based | 50 Points (28.6%)

Patrick Irby: 43

Jeffery Klugh: 50

All items addressed, Consultant has a long history in this field and recently acquired Witt O'brien and their staff's KSAs.

David Peaton: 40

David Wood: 48

Project Manager and Project Team's Competency and Qualifications | Points Based | 30 Points (17.1%)

Patrick Irby: 26

Jeffery Klugh: 30

Project team has a very large experience base dealing with large disasters in Florida, with the project manager having direct experience working with Alachua County and throughout Florida.

David Peaton: 21

David Wood: 27

Project Understanding and Approach | Points Based | 50 Points (28.6%)

Patrick Irby: 46

Jeffery Klugh: 45

Contractor has a well-developed approach to disaster debris monitoring from start to finish, but specifics for Alachua County were not listed.

David Peaton: 39

David Wood: 48

Ability to meet Project Schedule and Budget Requirements | Points Based | 30 Points (17.1%)

Patrick Irby: 25

Jeffery Klugh: 27

Specific team assignments and hours not listed, but good timeline for tasks and milestones were given.

David Peaton: 20

David Wood: 26

Proposal Organization | Points Based | 10 Points (5.7%)

Patrick Irby: 8

Jeffery Klugh: 9

Proposal was structured to follow RFP requirements and addressed most elements.

David Peaton: 8

David Wood: 10

Volume of Previous Work (VOW) awarded by the County | Points Based | 5 Points (2.9%)

Patrick Irby: 5

\$0

	Jeffery Klugh: 5
\$0	
	David Peaton: 5
\$0	
	David Wood: 5
\$0	

Rostan Solutions LLC

Ability and Competency of the Consultant | Points Based | 50 Points (28.6%)

Patrick Irby: 44

Jeffery Klugh: 50

Consultant has extensive experience working in Florida and elsewhere.

David Peaton: 40

David Wood: 48

Project Manager and Project Team's Competency and Qualifications | Points Based | 30 Points (17.1%)

Patrick Irby: 22

Jeffery Klugh: 27

Staff appears to be competent and qualified.

David Peaton: 22

David Wood: 27

Project Understanding and Approach | Points Based | 50 Points (28.6%)

Patrick Irby: 44

Jeffery Klugh: 45

Solid plan with little Alachua County specifics.

David Peaton: 38

David Wood: 48

Ability to meet Project Schedule and Budget Requirements | Points Based | 30 Points (17.1%)

Patrick Irby: 18

Jeffery Klugh: 20

Individual tasks and hours not listed.

David Peaton: 23

David Wood: 26

Proposal Organization | Points Based | 10 Points (5.7%)

Patrick Irby: 8

Jeffery Klugh: 7

Proposal follows RFP, but included extensive project and resume pages.

David Peaton: 8

David Wood: 10

Volume of Previous Work (VOW) awarded by the County | Points Based | 5 Points (2.9%)

Patrick Irby: 5

\$0

Jeffery Klugh: 5

\$0

David Peaton: 5

\$0

David Wood: 5

\$0

Tetra Tech, Inc.

Ability and Competency of the Consultant | Points Based | 50 Points (28.6%)

Patrick Irby: 45

Jeffery Klugh: 50

Consultant has extensive experience working in Florida and elsewhere.

David Peaton: 45

David Wood: 48

Project Manager and Project Team's Competency and Qualifications | Points Based | 30 Points (17.1%)

Patrick Irby: 25

Jeffery Klugh: 27

Staff appears to be competent and qualified.

David Peaton: 23

David Wood: 26

Project Understanding and Approach | Points Based | 50 Points (28.6%)

Patrick Irby: 46

Jeffery Klugh: 45

Mostly generic debris monitoring plan

David Peaton: 39

David Wood: 48

Ability to meet Project Schedule and Budget Requirements | Points Based | 30 Points (17.1%)

Patrick Irby: 21

Jeffery Klugh: 30

Schedule, assignments and pricing are all acceptable.

David Peaton: 23

David Wood: 27

Proposal Organization | Points Based | 10 Points (5.7%)

Patrick Irby: 8

Jeffery Klugh: 9

Proposal was structured to follow RFP requirements and addressed most elements

David Peaton: 8

David Wood: 10

Volume of Previous Work (VOW) awarded by the County | Points Based | 5 Points (2.9%)

Patrick Irby: 5

\$0

Jeffery Klugh: 5

\$0

David Peaton: 5

\$0

David Wood: 5

\$0

Thompson Consulting Services, LLC

Ability and Competency of the Consultant | Points Based | 50 Points (28.6%)

Patrick Irby: 50

Jeffery Klugh: 50

Consultant has extensive experience working in Florida and elsewhere and had demonstrated competency in Disaster Debris Monitoring

David Peaton: 33

David Wood: 48

Project Manager and Project Team's Competency and Qualifications | Points Based | 30 Points (17.1%)

Patrick Irby: 28

Jeffery Klugh: 25

Staff resumes do not list job history, only past projects

David Peaton: 15

David Wood: 26

Project Understanding and Approach | Points Based | 50 Points (28.6%)

Patrick Irby: 46

Jeffery Klugh: 45

Mostly generic debris monitoring plan

David Peaton: 26

David Wood: 47

Ability to meet Project Schedule and Budget Requirements | Points Based | 30 Points (17.1%)

Patrick Irby: 16

Jeffery Klugh: 28

Schedule, assignments and pricing are all acceptable.

David Peaton: 14

David Wood: 26

Proposal Organization | Points Based | 10 Points (5.7%)

Patrick Irby: 8

Jeffery Klugh: 9

Proposal was structured to follow RFP requirements and addressed most elements

David Peaton: 6

David Wood: 10

Volume of Previous Work (VOW) awarded by the County | Points Based | 5 Points (2.9%)

Patrick Irby: 5

\$0	
	Jeffery Klugh: 5
\$0	
	David Peaton: 5
\$0	
	David Wood: 5
\$0	

Final Audit Report 2024-07-10

Created: 2024-07-09

By: Mandy Mullins (mmmullins@alachuacounty.us)

Status: Signed

Transaction ID: CBJCHBCAABAAQlw4jdcki5oLpP8M1wWVPxy1aoiZ52fC

"ITA 25-74-MM Annual Monitoring of Disaster Debris and Recovery Activities" History

- Document created by Mandy Mullins (mmmullins@alachuacounty.us) 2024-07-09 1:00:50 PM GMT
- Document e-signed by Mandy Mullins (mmmullins@alachuacounty.us)

 Signature Date: 2024-07-09 1:02:20 PM GMT Time Source: server
- Document emailed to TJ White (twhite@alachuacounty.us) for signature 2024-07-09 1:02:22 PM GMT
- Email viewed by TJ White (twhite@alachuacounty.us) 2024-07-10 5:43:35 PM GMT
- Document e-signed by TJ White (twhite@alachuacounty.us)
 Signature Date: 2024-07-10 5:48:35 PM GMT Time Source: server
- Agreement completed.
 2024-07-10 5:48:35 PM GMT