



ALACHUA COUNTY

Budget and Fiscal Services

Procurement

Theodore "TJ" White, Jr. CPPB
Procurement Manager

Thomas J. Rouse
Contracts Supervisor

Darryl R. Kight, CPPB
Procurement Supervisor

August 25, 2023

MEMORANDUM

TO: Theodore "TJ" White, Jr. CPPB, Procurement Manager

FROM: Darryl R. Kight, CPPB, Procurement Supervisor 

Darryl Kight (Aug 25, 2023 11:08 EDT)

**SUBJECT: INTENT TO AWARD
RFP 24-115-TW Annual Engineering Services**

Solicitation Opening Date: 2:00 PM, Wednesday, July 12, 2023
Solicitation Notifications View Count: 1084 Vendors
Solicitations Downloaded by: 58 Vendors
Solicitations Submissions: 7 Vendors

Firms:

Causseaux, Hewett, & Walpole, Inc. Alachua, FL 32615	DRMP, Inc. Orlando, FL 32814	Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. Orlando, FL 32801
Kisinger Campo & Associates, Corp. Tampa, FL 33602	Metric Engineering Inc. Lake Mary, FL 32746	Mott Macdonald Florida, LLC Pensacola, FL 32502
Traffic Signal Coordination, LLC Surprise, AZ 85355		

RECOMMENDATION:

The board approve the Evaluation Committee's award ranking below for 24-115-TW Annual Engineering Services.

1. Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.
2. Causseaux, Hewett, & Walpole, Inc.
3. Kisinger Campo & Associates, Corp.
4. Mott Macdonald Florida, LLC
5. Metric Engineering Inc.
6. DRMP, Inc.
7. Traffic Signal Coordination, LLC

Approve the above ranking and authorize staff to negotiate agreements with the top four (4) ranked firms. Should the staff be unable to negotiate a satisfactory agreement with any of the top four ranked firms, negotiations with the unsuccessful firm(s) will be terminated. Negotiations with the other ranked firms may be undertaken in the same manner in order of ranking until top four (4) ranked vendors have or have not reach an agreement.

The actual RFP award is subject to the appropriate signature authority identified in the Procurement Code.

flub

Aug 25, 2023

Approved
Theodore "TJ" White, Jr., CPPB
Procurement Manager

Date

Disapproved
Theodore "TJ" White, Jr., CPPB
Procurement Manager

MM

Vendor Complaints or Grievances; Right to Protest

Unless otherwise governed by state or Federal law, this part shall govern the protest and appeal of Procurement decisions by the County. As used in Part A of Article 9 of the Procurement Code, the term “Bidder” includes anyone that submits a response to an invitation to bid or one who makes an offer in response to a solicitation (e.g., ITB, RFP, ITN), and is not limited solely to one that submits a bid in response to an Invitation to Bid (ITB).

- (1) *Notice of Solicitations and Awards.* The County shall provide notice of all solicitations and awards by electronic posting in accordance with the procedures and Florida law.
- (2) *Solicitation Protest.* Any prospective Bidder may file a solicitation protest concerning a solicitation.
 - (a) *Basis of the Solicitation Protest:* The alleged basis for a solicitation protest shall be limited to the following:
 - i. The terms, conditions or specifications of the solicitation are in violation of, or are inconsistent with this Code, Florida Statutes, County procedures and policies, or the terms of the solicitation at issue, including but not limited to the method of evaluating, ranking or awarding of the solicitation, reserving rights of further negotiations, or modifying or amending any resulting contract; or
 - ii. The solicitation instructions are unclear or contradictory.
 - (b) *Timing and Content of the Solicitation Protest:* The solicitation protest must be in writing and must be received by the Procurement Manager, twhite@alachuacounty.us by no later than the solicitation’s question submission deadline. Failure to timely file a solicitation protest shall constitute a total and complete waiver of the Bidder’s right to protest or appeal any solicitation defects, and shall bar the Bidder from subsequently raising such solicitation defects in any subsequent Award Protest, if any, or any other administrative or legal proceeding. In the event a solicitation protest is timely filed, the protesting party shall be deemed to have waived any and all solicitation defects that were not timely alleged in the protesting party’s solicitation protest, and the protesting party shall be forever barred from subsequently raising or appealing said solicitation defects in a subsequent award protest, if any, or any other administrative or legal proceeding. The solicitation protest must include, at a minimum, the following information:
 - i. The name, address, e-mail and telephone number of the protesting party;
 - ii. The solicitation number and title;
 - iii. Information sufficient to establish that the protesting party has legal standing to file the solicitation Protest because:
 1. It has a substantial interest in and is aggrieved in connection with the solicitation; and
 2. That the protesting party is responsive, in accordance with the criteria set forth in the solicitation, unless the basis for the Solicitation Protest alleges that the criteria set forth in the solicitation is defective, in which case the protesting party must demonstrate that it is responsible in accordance with the criteria that the protesting party alleges should be used;
 - iv. A detailed statement of the basis for the protest;
 - v. References to section of the Code, Florida Statutes, County policies or procedure or solicitation term that the protesting party alleges have been violated by the County or that entitles the protesting party to the relief requested;
 - vi. All supporting evidence or documents that substantiate the protesting party’s alleged basis for the protest; and
 - vii. The form of the relief requested.
 - (c) *Review and Determination of Protest:* If the Solicitation Protest is not timely, the Procurement Manager shall notify the protesting party that the Solicitation Protest is untimely and, therefore, rejected. The Procurement Manager shall consider all timely Solicitation Protests and may conduct any inquiry that the Procurement Manager deems necessary to make a determination regarding a protest. The Procurement Manager shall issue a written determination granting or denying the protest. The written determination shall contain a concise statement of the basis for the determination.

(d) *Appeal*: If the protesting party is not satisfied with the Procurement Manager's determination, the protesting party may appeal the determination to the County Manager by filing a written appeal, which sets forth the basis upon which the appeal is based, including all supporting documentation. The scope of the appeal shall be limited to the basis alleged in the Solicitation Protest. The appeal must be filed with the Procurement Manager within five business days of the date on which the Procurement Manager's written determination was sent to the protesting party. Failure to timely file an appeal shall constitute a waiver of the protesting party's rights to an appeal of the Procurement Manager's determination, and the protesting party shall be forever barred from subsequently raising or appealing said Solicitation defects in a subsequent award protest, if any, or any other administrative or legal proceeding. After considering the appeal, the County Manager must determine whether the solicitation should stand, be revised, or be cancelled, and issue a written determination and provide copies of the determination to the protesting party. The determination of the County Manager shall be final and not subject to further appeal under this code.

(3) *Award Protest*. Any Bidder who is not the intended awardee and who claims to be the rightful awardee may file an award protest. However, an award protest is not valid and shall be rejected for lack of standing if it does not demonstrate that the protesting party would be awarded the Solicitation if its protest is upheld.

(a) *Basis of the Award Protest*: The alleged basis for an Award Protest shall be limited to the following:

- i. The protesting party was incorrectly deemed non-responsive due to an incorrect assessment of fact or law;
- ii. The County failed to substantively follow the procedures or requirements specified in the solicitation documents, except for minor irregularities that were waived by the County in accordance with this Code, which resulted in a competitive disadvantage to the protesting party; and
- iii. The County made a mathematical error in evaluating the responses to the solicitation, resulting in an incorrect score and not *protesting party not being selected for award*.

(b) *Timing and Content of the Award Protest*: The Award Protest must be in writing and must be received by the Procurement Manager, twhite@alachuacounty.us by no later than 3:00 PM on the third business day after the County's proposed Award decision was posted by the County. Failure to timely file an Award Protest shall constitute a total and complete waiver of the Bidder's right to protest or appeal the County's proposed Award decision in any administrative or legal proceeding. In the event an Award Protest is timely filed, the protesting party shall be deemed to have waived any and all proposed Award defects that were not timely alleged in the protesting party's Award Protest, and the protesting party shall be forever barred from subsequently raising or appealing said Award defects in any administrative or legal proceeding. The Award Protest must include, at a minimum, the following information:

- i. The name, address, e-mail and telephone number of the protesting party;
- ii. The Solicitation number and title;
- iii. Information sufficient to establish that the protesting party's response was responsive to the Solicitation;
- iv. Information sufficient to establish that the protesting party has legal standing to file the Solicitation Protest because:
 1. The protesting party submitted a response to the Solicitation or other basis for establishing legal standing;
 2. The protesting party has a substantial interest in and is aggrieved in connection with the proposed Award decision; and
 3. The protesting party, and not any other bidder, should be awarded the Solicitation if the protesting party's Award Protest is upheld.
- v. A detailed statement of the basis for the protest;
- vi. References to section of the Code, Florida Statutes, County policies or procedure or solicitation term that the protesting party alleges have been violated by the County or that entitles the protesting party to the relief requested;

- vii. All supporting evidence or documents that substantiate the protesting party's alleged basis for the protest; and
 - viii. The form of the relief requested.
- (c) *Review and Determination of Protest:* If the Award Protest is not timely, the Procurement Manager shall notify the protesting party that the Award Protests is untimely and, therefore, rejected. The Procurement Manager shall consider all timely Award Protests and may conduct any inquiry that the county Procurement Manager deems necessary to resolve the protest by mutual agreement or to make a determination regarding the protests. The Procurement Manager shall issue a written determination granting or denying each protest. The written determination shall contain a concise statement of the basis for the determination.
- (d) Appeal:
- i. If the protesting party is not satisfied with the Procurement Manager's determination, the protesting party may appeal the determination to the County Manager by filing a written appeal, which sets forth the basis upon which the appeal is based. The scope of the appeal shall be limited to the basis alleged in the award protest. The appeal must be filed with the Procurement Manager within five business days of the date on which the Procurement Manager's written determination was mailed to the protesting party. Failure to timely file an appeal shall constitute a waiver of the protesting party's rights to an appeal of the Procurement Manager's determination, and the protesting party shall be forever barred from subsequently raising or appealing said award defects in any administrative or legal proceeding.
 - ii. After reviewing the appeal, the County Manager will issue a written final determination and provide copies of the determination to the protesting party. Prior to issuing a final determination, the County Manager, in his or her discretion, may direct a hearing officer, or magistrate, to conduct an administrative hearing in connection with the protest and issue findings and recommendations to the County Manager. Prior to a hearing, if held, the Procurement Manager must file with the hearing officer the protest, any background information, and his or her written determination. The protesting party and the County shall equally share the cost of conducting any hearing, including the services of the hearing officer. If applicable, the County Manager may wait to issue a written final determination until after receipt of the findings and recommendations of the hearing officer. The determination of the County Manager shall be final and not subject to further appeal under this code.
- (4) *Burden of Proof:* Unless otherwise provide by Florida law, the burden of proof shall rest with the protesting party.
- (5) *Stay of Procurements during Protests.* In the event of a timely protest, the County shall not proceed further with the solicitation or with the award of the contract until the Procurement Manager, after consultation with the head of the using department, makes a written determination that the award of the solicitation without delay is:
- (a) Necessary to avoid an immediate and serious danger to the public health, safety, or welfare;
 - (b) Necessary to avoid or substantial reduce significant damage to County property;
 - (c) Necessary to *avoid or substantially reduce interruption of essential County Services; or;*
 - (d) Otherwise in the best interest of the public.



Alachua County, Florida

Procurement

Theodore "TJ" White, Jr. CPPB, Procurement Manager
County Administration Building, Gainesville, FL 32601
(352) 374-5202

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

RFP No. RFP 24-115-TW

Annual Engineering Services

RESPONSE DEADLINE: July 12, 2023 at 2:00 pm

Monday, August 21, 2023

SOLICITATION OVERVIEW

Project Title	Annual Engineering Services
Project ID	RFP 24-115-TW
Project Type	Request For Proposal
Release Date	May 10, 2023
Due Date	July 12, 2023
Procurement Agent	Darryl R Kight
Evaluators	Ramon Gavarrete, Jeffrey Hays, Shane Williams
Project Description	<p>Alachua County Board of County Commissioners is seeking proposals from licensed professionals (hereinafter, referred to as Consultants) for the provision of Annual Engineering Services for Public Works.</p> <p>Contracts awarded under this Request for Proposals (RFP) are defined as continuing services agreements where performance of the scope is for an undefined number of projects. Task work orders for detailed project scope of services shall be issued as needed. This RFP is for all transportation related project types, including federally funded projects (partially or fully) by FHWA, FEMA or any other federal-aid agency. Task work orders shall only be issued for projects where the estimated construction cost for each individual project or the costs of each individual study does not exceed limits as defined in 287.055 F.S. (Florida's "Consultants' Competitive Negotiation Act").</p>

INTRODUCTION

Summary

Alachua County Board of County Commissioners (hereinafter, the "County" or "Alachua County") is seeking proposals from qualified individuals or entities (hereinafter, referred to as "Consultant" or the "proposer") for the provision of RFP 24-115-TW Annual Engineering Services.

The following apply to this request for proposal: [Instruction to Proposers](#), [Terms and Conditions](#), [Insurance](#), [Scope of Work](#), [Proposal Requirements and Organization](#), [Request for Proposal Selection Procedures](#), [Evaluation Phases](#), [Attachments](#), [Submittals](#) and [Sample Agreement](#).

Alachua County Board of County Commissioners is seeking proposals from licensed professionals (hereinafter, referred to as Consultants) for the provision of Annual Engineering Services for Public Works.

Contracts awarded under this Request for Proposals (RFP) are defined as continuing services agreements where performance of the scope is for an undefined number of projects. Task work orders for detailed project scope of services shall be issued as needed. This RFP is for all transportation related project types, including federally funded projects (partially or fully) by FHWA, FEMA or any other federal-aid agency. Task work orders shall only be issued for projects where the estimated construction cost for each individual project or the costs of each individual study does not exceed limits as defined in 287.055 F.S. (Florida’s “Consultants’ Competitive Negotiation Act”).

Background

Location: Alachua County is located in North Central Florida. The County government seat is situated in Gainesville. Gainesville is located 70 miles southwest of Jacksonville, 129 miles southeast of Tallahassee, 140 miles northeast of Tampa - St. Petersburg and 109 miles northwest of Orlando. Alachua County has a population of over 250,000 and a regional airport. The County itself consists of a total area of 969 square miles.

Form of Government: Alachua County is governed by a Board of five (5) elected County Commissioners and operates under the established County Manager Charter form of government. In addition to the five County Commissioners, there are five elected Constitutional Officers: Supervisor of Elections, Sheriff, Clerk of the Court, Tax Collector, and the Property Appraiser. The Alachua County Attorney also reports to the Board.

Contact Information

Darryl R Kight

Procurement Supervisor, CPPB, CPM

Email: drkight@alachuacounty.us

Phone: [\(352\) 374-5202](tel:(352)374-5202)

Department:

Public Works

Timeline

OpenGov Release Project Date	May 10, 2023
Question Submission Deadline	July 2, 2023, 12:01am

Solicitation Submission Deadline	July 12, 2023, 2:00pm
Solicitation Opening – Teams Meeting	<p>July 12, 2023, 2:00pm The scheduled solicitation opening will occur via Teams Meeting; the information to join is provided below. Attendance (live viewing) of the proposals opening is not required.</p> <p>Join Microsoft Teams meeting Join on your computer, mobile app or room device Click here to join the meeting</p> <p>Meeting ID: 259 625 692 241 Passcode: yX9G3Q Download Teams Join on the web Or call in (audio only) +1 469-998-7938,,366862554# United States, Dallas Phone Conference ID: 366 862 554#</p> <p>If you have a disability and need an accommodation in order to participate, please contact the Alachua County ADA Coordinator at ADA@alachuacounty.us or Equal Opportunity Office at 352-374-5275 at least 7 business days prior to the event. If you are unable to notify the Office prior to the event, please inform an Alachua County employee that you need assistance. TDD/TTY users, please call 711 (Florida Relay Service).</p>

SOLICITATION STATUS HISTORY

Date	Changed To	Changed By
Mar 31, 2023 4:27 PM	Draft	Theodore White
Apr 7, 2023 8:20 AM	Review	Mandy Mullins
May 10, 2023 4:02 PM	Final	Darryl R Kight
May 10, 2023 4:02 PM	Post Pending	Darryl R Kight
May 10, 2023 4:12 PM	Final	Theodore White

Date	Changed To	Changed By
May 10, 2023 4:13 PM	Review	Theodore White
May 10, 2023 4:19 PM	Final	Theodore White
May 10, 2023 4:19 PM	Post Pending	Theodore White
May 10, 2023 5:00 PM	Open	OpenGov Bot
Jul 12, 2023 2:00 PM	Pending	OpenGov Bot
Jul 12, 2023 5:01 PM	Evaluation	Mandy Mullins

PROPOSALS RECEIVED

Status	Vendor	Contact Info	Submission Date
Submitted	CHW	Shannon Braddy marketing@chw-inc.com (352) 331-1976	Jul 12, 2023 8:53 AM
Submitted	DRMP, Inc.	Lisa Greene marketingdept@drmp.com (407) 896-0594	Jul 12, 2023 1:43 PM
Submitted	Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.	Erin Athas florida.marketing@kimley-horn.com (321) 754-0910	Jul 12, 2023 12:02 PM
Submitted	Kisinger Campo & Associates, Corp (KCA)	Elisa Guggino marketing@kcaeng.com (813) 871-5331	Jul 12, 2023 10:39 AM
No Bid	LED AMP ENERGY CORP	Anthony Posada tony@ledampenergy.net (305) 316-4690	Jun 23, 2023 1:03 PM
Submitted	Metric Engineering, Inc.	Kristine Melanson kristine.melanson@metriceng.com	Jul 12, 2023 9:55 AM
Submitted	Mott MacDonald, LLC	Scott Stevens scott.stevens@mottmac.com (850) 484-6011	Jul 11, 2023 12:03 PM
Submitted	Traffic signal coordination LLC	Frank Gonani contact@trafficsignalcoordination.com	Jul 11, 2023 1:47 AM

VENDOR QUESTIONNAIRE PASS/FAIL

Question Title	CHW	DRMP, Inc.	Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.	Kisinger Campo & Associates, Corp (KCA)	LED AMP ENERGY CORP
Corporate Resolution Granting Signature	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	No Response

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY
RFP No. RFP 24-115-TW
Annual Engineering Services

Question Title	CHW	DRMP, Inc.	Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.	Kisinger Campo & Associates, Corp (KCA)	LED AMP ENERGY CORP
Acknowledge that you have reviewed all Addendum(s) issued with this solicitation.	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	No Response
State Compliance	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	No Response
Public Record Trade Secret or Proprietary Confidential Business Information Exemption Request	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	No Response
Public Record Trade Secret or Proprietary Confidential Business Information Exemption Request	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	No Response
Public Record Trade Secret or Proprietary Confidential Business Information Exemption Request	No Response	No Response	No Response	No Response	No Response
Drug Free Workplace	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	No Response
Vendor Eligibility	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	No Response
NON-SBE Subcontractors	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	No Response
Responsible Agent Designation	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	No Response
Conflict of Interest	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	No Response
Request for Proposal Submittal Documentation	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	No Response
You have reviewed and completed all the required submittal requirements..	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	No Response
Question Title	Metric Engineering, Inc.	Mott MacDonald, LLC	Traffic signal coordination LLC		
Corporate Resolution Granting Signature	Pass	Pass	Pass		
Acknowledge that you have reviewed all Addendum(s) issued with this solicitation.	Pass	Pass	Pass		
State Compliance	Pass	Pass	Pass		
Public Record Trade Secret or Proprietary Confidential Business Information Exemption Request	Pass	Pass	Pass		

Question Title	CHW	DRMP, Inc.	Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.	Kisinger Campo & Associates, Corp (KCA)	LED AMP ENERGY CORP
Public Record Trade Secret or Proprietary Confidential Business Information Exemption Request		Pass	Pass		Pass
Public Record Trade Secret or Proprietary Confidential Business Information Exemption Request		No Response	No Response		No Response
Drug Free Workplace		Pass	Pass		Pass
Vendor Eligibility		Pass	Pass		Pass
NON-SBE Subcontractors		Pass	Pass		Pass
Responsible Agent Designation		Pass	Pass		Pass
Conflict of Interest		Pass	Pass		Pass
Request for Proposal Submittal Documentation		Pass	Pass		Pass
You have reviewed and completed all the required submittal requirements..		Pass	Pass		Pass

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Approved, Unanswered Questions

Approved, Answers Provided

1. No subject

May 15, 2023 11:49 AM

Question: Since the architectural needs appear to be minor, should firms have a qualified architect on the team in the proposal package? Or, if selected, can a firm choose a qualified architect to meet the specific architectural needs of the project?

May 15, 2023 11:49 AM

Answered by James Flegert: It is recommended that there be a qualified architect on the team. However, that need is minor and if one is not included then the reasoning behind that decision should be explained and the team should provide clarification on how that need will be met in the future and in a timely manner.

May 16, 2023 3:16 PM

2. Incumbents

May 16, 2023 10:06 AM

Question: Who are the incumbents for this contract?

May 16, 2023 10:06 AM

Answered by Mandy Mullins: DRMP, Inc., JBrown Professional Group Inc., Jones Edmunds & Associates, Inc

May 16, 2023 3:18 PM

3. Indemnity Provision Section

May 25, 2023 10:48 AM

Question: As currently worded, we believe that the indemnity provision section 4.12 on pages 12 and 13 of the proposal and Section 13, pages 42 of the sample contract, is not in compliance with FL Statute 725.08 and is unenforceable. Would the County please consider rewording same to conform with the statute? Suggested language per FL Statutes 725.08: "The Consultant shall indemnify and hold harmless the agency, and its officers and employees, from liabilities, damages, losses, and costs, including, but not limited to, reasonable attorneys' fees, to the extent caused by the negligence, recklessness, or intentionally wrongful conduct of the Consultant and other persons employed or utilized by the design professional in the performance of the contract." Furthermore, note that the indemnification language in our contract with the County (RFP 18-800) complies with Florida Statute 725.08

May 25, 2023 10:48 AM

Answered by Mandy Mullins: Thank you for bringing this to our attention, the continuing services sample agreement will be replaced with the professional services sample agreement. The professional services sample agreement has the indemnification language that is approved for engineering services. See addendum #1 to be released.

May 26, 2023 1:33 PM

4. No subject

May 25, 2023 11:43 AM

Question: Considering the amount and variety of potential projects serviced under this contract, would the County remove the existing criteria for the prime consultant to be prequalified in both FDOT Work Groups 3.1 and 3.2 and allow the prime consultant to be prequalified in either 3.1 or 3.2 to still meet federal and state funding requirements? This would enable more locally headquartered small businesses to have the ability to submit for the RFQ and it is likely that a majority of the projects being designed and constructed during the contract period would be categorized under work group 3.1.

May 25, 2023 11:43 AM

Answered by James Flegert: The prime consultant needs to be prequalified in both Work Groups 3.1 and 3.2.

May 26, 2023 1:33 PM

5. Evaluation

May 25, 2023 11:43 AM

Question: Who are the members of the RFQ Evaluation Committee?

May 25, 2023 11:43 AM

Answered by Mandy Mullins: Ramon D. Gavarrete, P.E., Public Works Director (Chair) Jeffrey Hays, AICP, Acting Growth Management Director Shane Williams, P.E., Stormwater Engineer, Environmental Protection Department

May 26, 2023 1:33 PM

6. Sample Agreement

Jun 2, 2023 1:03 PM

Question: Kindly confirm that the Professional is not responsible for the “erection” of “safety...materials” as alluded to in the Sample Agreement at Section 7.

Jun 2, 2023 1:03 PM

Answered by Mandy Mullins: See Addendum #1. Updated SAMPLE agreement.

Jun 23, 2023 10:10 AM

7. No subject

Jun 2, 2023 1:04 PM

Question: 2. Respectfully, would the County be willing to include the statutorily authorized exclusion of individual liability per F.S. § 558.0035?

Jun 2, 2023 1:04 PM

Answered by Darryl R Kight: A sample contract is attached to solicitations as a benefit to the parties. However, the form is a sample and is subject to negotiations. During the negotiation period, the County generally works with an awarded vendor to mutually agree to terms.

Jun 29, 2023 12:24 PM

8. No subject

Jun 2, 2023 1:05 PM

Question: 3. Respectfully, would the County consider tying termination for cause to a material breach of the Agreement (so as to give clarity and objectivity to such an important occurrence, and to align with industry norms)? Suggested adjustments could be as follows: • Termination for Default: The failure of Professional to comply with any material provision of this Agreement may place Professional in default. If Professional is in material default or materially fails to perform in accordance with the terms or conditions of this Agreement, the County may provide a written notice of default... .

Jun 2, 2023 1:05 PM

Answered by Darryl R Kight: A sample contract is attached to solicitations as a benefit to the parties. However, the form is a sample and is subject to negotiations. During the negotiation period, the County generally works with an awarded vendor to mutually agree to terms.

Jun 29, 2023 12:25 PM

9. No subject

Jun 2, 2023 1:07 PM

Question: 5. Respectfully, would the County consider including a reasonable waiver of consequential damages? Suggest language could be as follows: • To the fullest extent permitted by law, and notwithstanding any other term to the contrary, the Parties waive their respective rights to claims for consequential, special, or incidental damages, including but not limited to loss of use and lost profits.

Jun 2, 2023 1:07 PM

Answered by Darryl R Kight: A sample contract is attached to solicitations as a benefit to the parties. However, the form is a sample and is subject to negotiations. During the negotiation period, the County generally works with an awarded vendor to mutually agree to terms.

Jun 29, 2023 1:03 PM

10. No subject

Jun 2, 2023 1:07 PM

Question: 6. Respectfully, would the County consider including a reasonable limitation of liability? Suggest language could be as follows: • To the fullest extent permitted by law, and excluding claims for indemnity, gross negligence and willful misconduct, total aggregate liability of Professional (and its related corporations, subconsultants, and employees) to the County is limited to twice the professional fees actually paid to Professional for Services provided under a given order for services (with a maximum aggregate liability under this Agreement of \$1,000,000), for any and all injuries, damages, claims, losses, or expenses (including reasonable attorney and expert fees) arising out of Professional's performance of Services under the order for services document giving rise to the subject claim, regardless of cause(s) or the theory of liability, including negligence, or other recovery.

Jun 2, 2023 1:07 PM

Answered by Darryl R Kight: A sample contract is attached to solicitations as a benefit to the parties. However, the form is a sample and is subject to negotiations. During the negotiation period, the County generally works with an awarded vendor to mutually agree to terms.

Jun 29, 2023 12:26 PM

11. Indemnity Provisions - CCNA

Jun 2, 2023 1:06 PM

Question: 4. Respectfully, would the County consider reasonable adjustments to the indemnity provisions so that it is insurable and in line with industry norms (as seeking to maintain insurability is a benefit to both Parties)? Suggested adjustments could be as follows: • PROFESSIONAL HEREBY AGREES TO PROTECT, DEFEND (EXCEPT AS TO CLAIMS OF PROFESSIONAL NEGLIGENCE), INDEMNIFY AND HOLD HARMLESS ALACHUA COUNTY AND ITS BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, OFFICERS, EMPLOYEES, AND VOLUNTEERS (COLLECTIVELY "ALACHUA COUNTY") FROM AND AGAINST ANY AND ALL CLAIMS, DEMANDS, PENALTIES, EXPENSES, AND CAUSES OF ACTION OF ANY AND EVERY DESCRIPTION, AND DAMAGES, INCLUDING REASONABLE ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS, BROUGHT AGAINST ALACHUA COUNTY RESULTING FROM ANY ACCIDENT, INCIDENT OR OCCURRENCE TO THE EXTENT CAUSED BY A NEGLIGENT ACT, ERROR OR OMISSION OF PROFESSIONAL OR PROFESSIONAL'S EMPLOYEES, OFFICERS, AGENTS, ASSIGNS OR SUBCONTRACTORS IN CONNECTION WITH THE PERFORMANCE OF THE SERVICES SET FORTH IN THIS AGREEMENT, INCLUDING ATTACHED EXHIBITS, OR FROM PROFESSIONAL'S ENTRY ONTO ALACHUA COUNTY'S PROPERTY AND ANY AND ALL IMPROVEMENTS THEREON. This obligation shall in no way be limited in any nature by any limitation on the amount or type of Professional's insurance coverage. In the event the County is alleged to be liable (or, in the case of professional negligence, County of is found to be liable) on account of negligent acts or omissions, or both, of Professional or Professional's employees, representatives or agents, then Professional will investigate, respond to and provide a defense for any allegations and claims, at Professional's sole costs and expense. Furthermore, Professional will pay all costs, fees and other expenses of any defense, including but not limited to, all reasonable attorneys' fees, court costs and expert witness fees and expenses. Professional and County will jointly cooperate with each other in the event of any litigation, including any request for documentation. This indemnification provision will survive the termination of this Agreement. Nothing contained herein shall constitute a waiver by the County of sovereign immunity or the provisions or limitation of liability of §768.28, Florida Statutes, as may be amended.

Jun 2, 2023 1:06 PM

Answered by Darryl R Kight: A sample contract is attached to solicitations as a benefit to the parties. However, the form is a sample and is subject to negotiations. During the negotiation period, the County generally works with an awarded vendor to mutually agree to terms.

Jun 23, 2023 3:11 PM

12. LIGHTING PRODUCTS

Jun 29, 2023 12:54 PM

Question: WHY IS IT THAT A VETERAN OWNED SMALL BUSINESS IS PREVENTED FROM BIDDING ON LIGHTING PROJECTS. ELECTRICIANS DONT KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT LED PRODUCTS. IS THAT LEGAL?

Jun 29, 2023 12:54 PM

Answered by Darryl R Kight: Please review the scope of services for the project details. Look into OpenGov for all followers regarding this project. Alachua County welcome All potential vendors.

Jun 29, 2023 1:43 PM

ADDENDA & NOTICES

ADDENDA ISSUED:

Addendum #1

May 26, 2023 1:33 PM

Sample Agreement Replaced

Addendum #2

Jun 7, 2023 3:33 PM

Opening Date Extended

Question Submission Deadline: June 18, 2023, 12:01am

Solicitation Submission Deadline: June 28, 2023, 2:00pm

Solicitation Opening – Teams Meeting: June 28, 2023, 2:00pm

Please use the [See What Changed](#) link to view all the changes made by this addendum.

Addendum #3

Jun 23, 2023 12:46 PM

Opening date extended

Question Submission Deadline: July 2, 2023, 12:01am

Solicitation Submission Deadline: July 12, 2023, 2:00pm

Please use the [See What Changed](#) link to view all the changes made by this addendum.

ADDENDA ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS:

Addendum #1

Proposal	Confirmed	Confirmed At	Confirmed By
Traffic signal coordination LLC	X	Jul 11, 2023 1:26 AM	Frank Gonani
Mott MacDonald, LLC	X	Jul 11, 2023 11:37 AM	Chelsea Durphy

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY
RFP No. RFP 24-115-TW
Annual Engineering Services

CHW	X	Jul 11, 2023 11:25 AM	Shannon Braddy
Metric Engineering, Inc.	X	Jul 6, 2023 9:13 AM	Kristine Melanson
Kisinger Campo & Associates, Corp (KCA)	X	Jul 12, 2023 10:22 AM	Elisa Guggino
Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.	X	Jun 20, 2023 7:52 AM	Erin Athas
DRMP, Inc.	X	Jun 16, 2023 11:39 AM	Lisa Greene

Addendum #2

Proposal	Confirmed	Confirmed At	Confirmed By
Traffic signal coordination LLC	X	Jul 11, 2023 1:26 AM	Frank Gonani
Mott MacDonald, LLC	X	Jul 11, 2023 11:37 AM	Chelsea Durphy
CHW	X	Jul 11, 2023 11:25 AM	Shannon Braddy
Metric Engineering, Inc.	X	Jul 6, 2023 9:13 AM	Kristine Melanson
Kisinger Campo & Associates, Corp (KCA)	X	Jul 12, 2023 10:22 AM	Elisa Guggino
Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.	X	Jun 20, 2023 7:52 AM	Erin Athas
DRMP, Inc.	X	Jun 16, 2023 11:40 AM	Lisa Greene

Addendum #3

Proposal	Confirmed	Confirmed At	Confirmed By
Traffic signal coordination LLC	X	Jul 11, 2023 1:36 AM	Frank Gonani
Mott MacDonald, LLC	X	Jul 11, 2023 11:37 AM	Chelsea Durphy
CHW	X	Jul 11, 2023 11:25 AM	Shannon Braddy
Metric Engineering, Inc.	X	Jul 6, 2023 9:13 AM	Kristine Melanson
Kisinger Campo & Associates, Corp (KCA)	X	Jul 12, 2023 10:22 AM	Elisa Guggino
Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.	X	Jul 11, 2023 10:07 AM	Erin Athas
DRMP, Inc.	X	Jul 12, 2023 1:14 PM	Lisa Greene

NOTICES ISSUED:

Notice #1

Jul 12, 2023 10:06 AM

Join on your computer, mobile app or room device

[Click here to join the meeting](#)

https://teams.microsoft.com/l/meetup-join/19%3ameeting_ZTQyYzk5YzMtZDc4ZS00N2IxLTljMWUtMjAwNTQwN2NjNTNi%40thread.v2/0?context=%7b%22Ti d%22%3a%2290fc851d-766d-4d7b-a09c-bfbf1d2dac94%22%2c%22Oid%22%3a%22c82ab8e7-6ee1-4cd5-9191-4aa322a1828f%22%7d

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY
RFP No. RFP 24-115-TW
Annual Engineering Services

Meeting ID: 259 625 692 241

Passcode: yX9G3Q

[Download Teams](#) | [Join on the web](#)

Or call in (audio only)

[+1 469-998-7938,,366862554#](tel:+14699987938,366862554#) United States, Dallas

Phone Conference ID: 366 862 554#

Notice #2

Jul 12, 2023 4:09 PM

Firms that submitted proposals

Notice #3

Jul 17, 2023 3:54 AM

Alachua County Procurement announces a public meeting to which all persons are invited to attend an Evaluation Committee Meeting on **Thursday, August 10, 2023 @ 10:00 am**, to discuss and update of the proposals for competitive solicitation for [RFP 24-115-TW Annual Engineering Services](#). The final recommendations will be sent to the Board of County Commissioners.

-

Topic: Public Notice of Evaluation Committee Meeting for [RFP 24-115-TW Annual Engineering Services](#)

Time: Thursday, **August 10, 2023 @ 10:00 am** Eastern Time (US and Canada)

Location: Alachua County Public Works Department

Conference Room B

5620 NW 120 Lane, Gainesville, FL 32653

Microsoft Teams meeting

Join on your computer, mobile app or room device

[Click here to join the meeting](#)

Meeting ID: 281 691 994 804

Passcode: 9ptkg6

Or call in (audio only)

[+1 469-998-7938,,779003512#](tel:+14699987938,779003512#) United States, Dallas

Phone Conference ID: 779 003 512#

These meetings are subject to change and/or cancellation. If you have any questions regarding these meetings, please call 352.384.3090. All persons are advised that, if they decide to contest any decision made at any of these meetings, they will need a record of the proceedings and, for such purpose, they may need to ensure that verbatim record of the proceedings is made which record includes the testimony and evidence upon which the appeal is to be based. If any accommodations are needed for persons with disabilities, please contact the County's Equal Opportunity Office at (352)374-5275 or (TTD) (352)-374-5284.

Notice #4

Jul 18, 2023 9:45 AM

Alachua County Procurement announces a public meeting to which all persons are invited to attend an Evaluation Committee Meeting on **Monday, August 21, 2023 @ 10:00 am**, to discuss and update of the proposals for competitive solicitation for [RFP 24-115-TW Annual Engineering Services](#). The final recommendations will be sent to the Board of County Commissioners.

-

Topic: Public Notice of Evaluation Committee Meeting for [RFP 24-115-TW Annual Engineering Services](#)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY
RFP No. RFP 24-115-TW
Annual Engineering Services

Time: Monday, August 21, 2023@ 10:00 am Eastern Time (US and Canada)
Location: Alachua County Administration Building
Third Floor Conference Room
12 SE 1st Street, Gainesville, FL 32601

Microsoft Teams meeting

Join on your computer, mobile app or room device

[Click here to join the meeting](#)

Meeting ID: 281 691 994 804

Passcode: 9ptkg6

Or call in (audio only)

[+1 469-998-7938,,779003512#](#) United States, Dallas

Phone Conference ID: 779 003 512#

These meetings are subject to change and/or cancellation. If you have any questions regarding these meetings, please call 352.384.3090. All persons are advised that, if they decide to contest any decision made at any of these meetings, they will need a record of the proceedings and, for such purpose, they may need to ensure that verbatim record of the proceedings is made which record includes the testimony and evidence upon which the appeal is to be based. If any accommodations are needed for persons with disabilities, please contact the County's Equal Opportunity Office at (352)374-5275 or (TTD) (352)-374-5284.

EVALUATION

PHASE 1

EVALUATORS

Name	Title	Agreement Accepted On
Ramon Gavarrete	Public Works Director	Aug 21, 2023 4:08 AM
Jeffrey Hays	Acting Director	Aug 20, 2023 9:31 PM
Shane Williams	Stormwater Engineer	Jul 17, 2023 9:12 AM

EVALUATION CRITERIA

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Ability of Professional Personnel	Points Based	50 (28.6% of Total)

Description:

- A. Resumes of the key staff support the firm's Competency in doing this type of work? Key staff includes the Project Manager, and other project team professionals.
- B. Has the firm done this type of work in the past?
- C. Is any of this work to be subcontracted? If so, what are the abilities of the firm(s) to be subcontracted?

- D. Based on questions above, award points as follows:
 - 1. 21-30 points - Exceptional Experience
 - 2. 11-20 points - Average Experience
 - 3. 0-10 points - Minimal Experience
- E. Has the company or key staff recently done this type of work for the County, the State, or for local government in the past?
 - 1. If the work was acceptable, award up to ten (10) points.
 - 2. If the firm has not done this type of work, award zero (0) points.
 - 3. If the work was unacceptable, deduct up to ten (10) points and note why.
- F. Are there factors, such as unique abilities, which would make a noticeable (positive) impact on the project?
 - 1. If the answer is yes, award from one (1) to ten (10) points and note reasons.
 - 2. If the answer is no, award zero (0) points.

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Capability to Meet Time and Budget Requirements	Points Based	20 (11.4% of Total)

Description:

- A. Does the level of key staffing and their percentage of involvement, the use of subcontractors (if any), office location, and/or information contained in the transmittal letter indicate that the firm will, or will not, meet time and budget requirements?
- B. To your knowledge, has the firm met or had trouble meeting time and budget requirements on similar projects?
- C. Have proof of insurability and other measures of financial stability been provided?
- D. Are time schedules reasonable?
- E. Current Workload.
- F. This factor is designed to determine how busy a firm is by comparing all Florida work against Florida personnel.
 - 1. If the work was acceptable, award up to ten (20) points.
 - 2. If the firm has not done this type of work, award zero (0) points.
 - 3. **If the work was unacceptable, deduct up to ten (10) points and note why.**

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY
RFP No. RFP 24-115-TW
Annual Engineering Services

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Volume of Previous Work (VOW) awarded by the County	Points Based	5 (2.9% of Total)

Description:
Points Provided by Procurement.

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Understanding of Project	Points Based	25 (14.3% of Total)

Description:

- A. Did the proposal indicate a thorough understanding of the project?
- B. Is the appropriate emphasis placed on the various work tasks?
 1. If the work was acceptable, award up to twenty-five (25) points.
 2. If the firm has not done this type of work, award zero (0) points.
 3. **If the work was unacceptable, deduct up to ten (10) points and note why.**

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Project Approach	Points Based	25 (14.3% of Total)

Description:

- A. Did the firm develop a workable approach to the project?
- B. Does the proposal specifically address the County's needs or is it "generic" in content?

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Project Manager	Points Based	10 (5.7% of Total)

Description:

- A. Does the project manager have experience with projects comparable in size and scope?
- B. Does the Project Manager have a stable job history? Have they been with the firm long, or have there been frequent job changes?

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Project Team	Points Based	20 (11.4% of Total)

Description:

- A. Was a project team identified?

- B. Is the team makeup appropriate for the project?
- C. Do the team members have experience with comparable projects?
- D. Are there any sub contracted firms involved? Will this enhance the project team?
- E. Are the hours assigned to the various team members for each task appropriate?

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Project Schedule	Points Based	10 (5.7% of Total)

Description:

- A. Is the proposed schedule reasonable based on quantity of personnel assigned to the project?
- B. Are individual tasks staged properly and in proper sequence?

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Proposal Organization	Points Based	10 (5.7% of Total)

Description:

- A. Was proposal organization per the RFP?
- B. Was all required paperwork submitted and completed appropriately?
- C. Did the proposal contain an excessive amount of generic boilerplate, resumes, pages per resume, photographs, etc.?

AGGREGATE SCORES SUMMARY

Vendor	Ramon Gavarrete	Jeffrey Hays	Shane Williams	Total Score (Max Score 175)
CHW	159	134	142	145
Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.	160	142	133	145
Kisinger Campo & Associates, Corp (KCA)	154	116	133	134.33
Mott MacDonald, LLC	160	94	130	128
DRMP, Inc.	130	120	128	126
Metric Engineering, Inc.	159	96	123	126
Traffic signal coordination LLC	6	43	22	23.67

VENDOR SCORES BY EVALUATION CRITERIA

Vendor	Ability of Professional Personnel Points Based 50 Points (28.6%)	Capability to Meet Time and Budget Requirements Points Based 20 Points (11.4%)	Volume of Previous Work (VOW) awarded by the County Points Based 5 Points (2.9%)	Understanding of Project Points Based 25 Points (14.3%)	Project Approach Points Based 25 Points (14.3%)
CHW	42	16	1	21.7	21.3
Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.	43.3	17	0	21.7	21.7
Kisinger Campo & Associates, Corp (KCA)	38	15.3	5	19.7	19.7
Mott MacDonald, LLC	34	15.3	5	18.7	18
DRMP, Inc.	34.7	14.7	0	19	19.3
Metric Engineering, Inc.	33.3	15.7	5	18	17.3
Traffic signal coordination LLC	8.7	1.7	5	4	1.7
Vendor	Project Manager Points Based 10 Points (5.7%)	Project Team Points Based 20 Points (11.4%)	Project Schedule Points Based 10 Points (5.7%)	Proposal Organization Points Based 10 Points (5.7%)	Total Score (Max Score 175)
CHW	8.7	17	8.3	9	145
Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.	8.7	16.7	7.7	8.3	145
Kisinger Campo & Associates, Corp (KCA)	7	15.3	7.7	6.7	134.33
Mott MacDonald, LLC	7	15.3	7.3	7.3	128
DRMP, Inc.	7.3	16.3	7	7.7	126
Metric Engineering, Inc.	7.7	14.7	7.7	6.7	126
Traffic signal coordination LLC	1	0.7	0.7	0.3	23.67

INDIVIDUAL PROPOSAL SCORES

CHW

Ability of Professional Personnel | Points Based | 50 Points (28.6%)

Ramon Gavarrete: 46

Local Firm. An extension of the CHW Team includes subconsultants Bentley Architects, GSE Engineering & Consulting, (SBE), Lassiter Transportation Group, Ally Engineering Services, and NicNevol Engineering Services. Collectively, the CHW Team can provide services for all of the listed FDOT Work Groups.

Jeffrey Hays: 40

Shane Williams: 40

Capability to Meet Time and Budget Requirements | Points Based | 20 Points (11.4%)

Ramon Gavarrete: 18

Jeffrey Hays: 15

Shane Williams: 15

Volume of Previous Work (VOW) awarded by the County | Points Based | 5 Points (2.9%)

Ramon Gavarrete: 1

\$353,020.30

Jeffrey Hays: 1

\$353,020.30

Shane Williams: 1

\$353,020.30

Understanding of Project | Points Based | 25 Points (14.3%)

Ramon Gavarrete: 23

Jeffrey Hays: 20

Shane Williams: 22

Project Approach | Points Based | 25 Points (14.3%)

Ramon Gavarrete: 23

Jeffrey Hays: 20

Shane Williams: 21

Project Manager | Points Based | 10 Points (5.7%)

Ramon Gavarrete: 10

Jeffrey Hays: 8

Shane Williams: 8

Project Team | Points Based | 20 Points (11.4%)

Ramon Gavarrete: 18

Jeffrey Hays: 15

Shane Williams: 18

Project Schedule | Points Based | 10 Points (5.7%)

Ramon Gavarrete: 10

Jeffrey Hays: 8

Shane Williams: 7

Proposal Organization | Points Based | 10 Points (5.7%)

Ramon Gavarrete: 10

Jeffrey Hays: 7

Shane Williams: 10

DRMP, Inc.

Ability of Professional Personnel | Points Based | 50 Points (28.6%)

Ramon Gavarrete: 30

Project Manager, Bruno Arriola, PE, ENV SP, located in our Tampa office,

Jeffrey Hays: 39

Shane Williams: 35

Capability to Meet Time and Budget Requirements | Points Based | 20 Points (11.4%)

Ramon Gavarrete: 14

Jeffrey Hays: 15

Shane Williams: 15

Volume of Previous Work (VOW) awarded by the County | Points Based | 5 Points (2.9%)

Ramon Gavarrete: 0

\$606,589.35

Jeffrey Hays: 0

\$606,589.35

Shane Williams: 0

\$606,589.35

Understanding of Project | Points Based | 25 Points (14.3%)

Ramon Gavarrete: 20

Jeffrey Hays: 15

Shane Williams: 22

Project Approach | Points Based | 25 Points (14.3%)

Ramon Gavarrete: 20

Jeffrey Hays: 18

Shane Williams: 20

Project Manager | Points Based | 10 Points (5.7%)

Ramon Gavarrete: 8

Jeffrey Hays: 6

Shane Williams: 8

Project Team | Points Based | 20 Points (11.4%)

Ramon Gavarrete: 20

Jeffrey Hays: 14

Shane Williams: 15

Project Schedule | Points Based | 10 Points (5.7%)

Ramon Gavarrete: 8

Jeffrey Hays: 6

Shane Williams: 7

Proposal Organization | Points Based | 10 Points (5.7%)

Ramon Gavarrete: 10

Jeffrey Hays: 7

Shane Williams: 6

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

Ability of Professional Personnel | Points Based | 50 Points (28.6%)

Ramon Gavarrete: 48

Gainesville Office. Firm in good standing with ACPW.

Jeffrey Hays: 45

Shane Williams: 37

Capability to Meet Time and Budget Requirements | Points Based | 20 Points (11.4%)

Ramon Gavarrete: 20

Jeffrey Hays: 16

Shane Williams: 15

Volume of Previous Work (VOW) awarded by the County | Points Based | 5 Points (2.9%)

Ramon Gavarrete: 0

\$1,028,924.05

Jeffrey Hays: 0

\$1,028,924.05

Shane Williams: 0

\$1,028,924.05

Understanding of Project | Points Based | 25 Points (14.3%)

Ramon Gavarrete: 23

Jeffrey Hays: 21

Shane Williams: 21

Project Approach | Points Based | 25 Points (14.3%)

Ramon Gavarrete: 23

Jeffrey Hays: 21

Shane Williams: 21

Project Manager | Points Based | 10 Points (5.7%)

Ramon Gavarrete: 10

Jeffrey Hays: 8

Shane Williams: 8

Project Team | Points Based | 20 Points (11.4%)

Ramon Gavarrete: 18

Jeffrey Hays: 16

Shane Williams: 16

Project Schedule | Points Based | 10 Points (5.7%)

Ramon Gavarrete: 8

Jeffrey Hays: 8

Shane Williams: 7

Proposal Organization | Points Based | 10 Points (5.7%)

Ramon Gavarrete: 10
Jeffrey Hays: 7
Shane Williams: 8

Kisinger Campo & Associates, Corp (KCA)

Ability of Professional Personnel | Points Based | 50 Points (28.6%)

Ramon Gavarrete: 44
Well rounded firm. Team includes JBrown Professional Group, Inc. (JBPro) [SBE]. Also teaming up with DRMP.
Jeffrey Hays: 35
Shane Williams: 35

Capability to Meet Time and Budget Requirements | Points Based | 20 Points (11.4%)

Ramon Gavarrete: 18
Jeffrey Hays: 13
Shane Williams: 15

Volume of Previous Work (VOW) awarded by the County | Points Based | 5 Points (2.9%)

Ramon Gavarrete: 5
\$0.00
Jeffrey Hays: 5
\$0.00
Shane Williams: 5
\$0.00

Understanding of Project | Points Based | 25 Points (14.3%)

Ramon Gavarrete: 23

"The County intends to contract with qualified engineering firms to provide a wide variety of transportation and stormwater engineering services. The requested services are divided into disciplines such as roadway/MOT design, stormwater design/ permitting, traffic and corridor planning/engineering/design, ITS, transportation planning, structures design, environmental/permitting, public involvement, and construction phase services. Additional support services include survey/mapping, SUE, geotechnical, landscape architecture, architecture, and noise/air services. KCA fully understands the overall objective of this contract is to pre-qualify consultants to perform these types of professional services, which will be authorized through individual TWOs."

Jeffrey Hays: 15

Shane Williams: 21

Project Approach | Points Based | 25 Points (14.3%)

Ramon Gavarrete: 22

Jeffrey Hays: 17

Shane Williams: 20

Project Manager | Points Based | 10 Points (5.7%)

Ramon Gavarrete: 8

Jeffrey Hays: 5

Shane Williams: 8

Project Team | Points Based | 20 Points (11.4%)

Ramon Gavarrete: 16

Team includes JBrown Professional Group, Inc. (JBPro) [SBE]. Also teaming up with DRMP.

Jeffrey Hays: 15

Shane Williams: 15

Project Schedule | Points Based | 10 Points (5.7%)

Ramon Gavarrete: 10

Jeffrey Hays: 6

Shane Williams: 7

Proposal Organization | Points Based | 10 Points (5.7%)

Ramon Gavarrete: 8

Jeffrey Hays: 5

Shane Williams: 7

Metric Engineering, Inc.

Ability of Professional Personnel | Points Based | 50 Points (28.6%)

Ramon Gavarrete: 45

Provided sub list and professional resume of staff. Well rounded experience. Jacksonville, Tampa, and other offices around the State.

Jeffrey Hays: 25

Shane Williams: 30

Capability to Meet Time and Budget Requirements | Points Based | 20 Points (11.4%)

Ramon Gavarrete: 19

"This contract fits into the firm's overall workload! We have several contracts coming to an end by the end of 2023 and our team is equipped and available to provide these services to the County during this timeframe."

Jeffrey Hays: 13

Shane Williams: 15

Volume of Previous Work (VOW) awarded by the County | Points Based | 5 Points (2.9%)

Ramon Gavarrete: 5

\$0.00

Jeffrey Hays: 5

\$0.00

Shane Williams: 5

\$0.00

Understanding of Project | Points Based | 25 Points (14.3%)

Ramon Gavarrete: 22

Jeffrey Hays: 13

Shane Williams: 19

Project Approach | Points Based | 25 Points (14.3%)

Ramon Gavarrete: 22

Jeffrey Hays: 13

Shane Williams: 17

Project Manager | Points Based | 10 Points (5.7%)

Ramon Gavarrete: 10

Jeffrey Hays: 5

Shane Williams: 8

Project Team | Points Based | 20 Points (11.4%)

Ramon Gavarrete: 18

Provided sub list and professional resume of staff. Well rounded experience. Jacksonville, Tampa, and other offices around the State.

Jeffrey Hays: 12

Shane Williams: 14

Project Schedule | Points Based | 10 Points (5.7%)

Ramon Gavarrete: 10

Jeffrey Hays: 6

Shane Williams: 7

Proposal Organization | Points Based | 10 Points (5.7%)

Ramon Gavarrete: 8

Jeffrey Hays: 4

Shane Williams: 8

Mott MacDonald, LLC

Ability of Professional Personnel | Points Based | 50 Points (28.6%)

Ramon Gavarrete: 46

Tampa Office. T2, Southeastern Surveying and Mapping and JBPro will be ready for any and all assignments as required for any type of survey effort required for this contract.

Jeffrey Hays: 24

Shane Williams: 32

Capability to Meet Time and Budget Requirements | Points Based | 20 Points (11.4%)

Ramon Gavarrete: 18

Jeffrey Hays: 13

Shane Williams: 15

Volume of Previous Work (VOW) awarded by the County | Points Based | 5 Points (2.9%)

Ramon Gavarrete: 5

\$0.00

Jeffrey Hays: 5

\$0.00

Shane Williams: 5
\$0.00

Understanding of Project | Points Based | 25 Points (14.3%)

Ramon Gavarrete: 23

The Mott MacDonald team has extensive success providing funding opportunities through FDOT, FAA, CDBG/DEO, FHWA, USDA, FEMA, FDEP SRF and Water Management Districts as well as other agencies. We utilize creative funding combinations to maximize grant funding and minimize or eliminate the County’s capital match. Mott MacDonald has worked with many communities similar in size and are confident that our prior funding assistance experiences could benefit the County.

Jeffrey Hays: 13

Shane Williams: 20

Project Approach | Points Based | 25 Points (14.3%)

Ramon Gavarrete: 22

Jeffrey Hays: 13

Shane Williams: 19

Project Manager | Points Based | 10 Points (5.7%)

Ramon Gavarrete: 8

Jeffrey Hays: 5

Shane Williams: 8

Project Team | Points Based | 20 Points (11.4%)

Ramon Gavarrete: 18

Jeffrey Hays: 12

Shane Williams: 16

Project Schedule | Points Based | 10 Points (5.7%)

Ramon Gavarrete: 10

Jeffrey Hays: 5

Shane Williams: 7

Proposal Organization | Points Based | 10 Points (5.7%)

Ramon Gavarrete: 10

Jeffrey Hays: 4

Shane Williams: 8

Traffic signal coordination LLC

Ability of Professional Personnel | Points Based | 50 Points (28.6%)

Ramon Gavarrete: 1

Proposal is 2-Pages. Absolutely no information provided to be able to evaluate firm's ability. 1 for effort.

Jeffrey Hays: 15

Shane Williams: 10

Capability to Meet Time and Budget Requirements | Points Based | 20 Points (11.4%)

Ramon Gavarrete: 0

Proposal is 2-Pages. Absolutely no information provided to be able to evaluate firm's capability.

Jeffrey Hays: 5

Shane Williams: 0

Volume of Previous Work (VOW) awarded by the County | Points Based | 5 Points (2.9%)

Ramon Gavarrete: 5

\$0.00

Jeffrey Hays: 5

\$0.00

Shane Williams: 5

\$0.00

Understanding of Project | Points Based | 25 Points (14.3%)

Ramon Gavarrete: 0

Proposal is 2-Pages. Absolutely no information provided to be able to evaluate firm's understanding of project.

Jeffrey Hays: 5

Shane Williams: 7

Project Approach | Points Based | 25 Points (14.3%)

Ramon Gavarrete: 0

Proposal is 2-Pages. Absolutely no information provided to be able to evaluate firm's project approach.

Jeffrey Hays: 5

Shane Williams: 0

Project Manager | Points Based | 10 Points (5.7%)

Ramon Gavarrete: 0

Proposal is 2-Pages. Absolutely no information.

Jeffrey Hays: 3

Shane Williams: 0

Project Team | Points Based | 20 Points (11.4%)

Ramon Gavarrete: 0

Proposal is 2-Pages. Absolutely no information provided to be able to evaluate firm's project team.

Jeffrey Hays: 2

Shane Williams: 0

Project Schedule | Points Based | 10 Points (5.7%)

Ramon Gavarrete: 0

Proposal is 2-Pages. Absolutely no information.

Jeffrey Hays: 2

Shane Williams: 0

Proposal Organization | Points Based | 10 Points (5.7%)

Ramon Gavarrete: 0

Proposal is 2-Pages. Absolutely no information.

Jeffrey Hays: 1

Shane Williams: 0

ITA 24-115-TW Annual Engineering Services

Final Audit Report

2023-08-25

Created:	2023-08-25
By:	Mandy Mullins (mmmullins@alachuacounty.us)
Status:	Signed
Transaction ID:	CBJCHBCAABAAzPvfwxLB57X27FKrGlpH02EQ-MLbskN-

"ITA 24-115-TW Annual Engineering Services" History

-  Document created by Mandy Mullins (mmmullins@alachuacounty.us)
2023-08-25 - 2:23:57 PM GMT- IP address: 163.120.80.69
-  Document emailed to Darryl Kight (dkight@AlachuaCounty.US) for signature
2023-08-25 - 2:25:37 PM GMT
-  Email viewed by Darryl Kight (dkight@AlachuaCounty.US)
2023-08-25 - 3:08:04 PM GMT- IP address: 163.120.80.11
-  Document e-signed by Darryl Kight (dkight@AlachuaCounty.US)
Signature Date: 2023-08-25 - 3:08:31 PM GMT - Time Source: server- IP address: 163.120.80.11
-  Document emailed to TJ White (twhite@alachuacounty.us) for signature
2023-08-25 - 3:08:33 PM GMT
-  Email viewed by TJ White (twhite@alachuacounty.us)
2023-08-25 - 4:00:16 PM GMT- IP address: 163.120.80.69
-  Document e-signed by TJ White (twhite@alachuacounty.us)
Signature Date: 2023-08-25 - 4:00:55 PM GMT - Time Source: server- IP address: 163.120.80.69
-  Agreement completed.
2023-08-25 - 4:00:55 PM GMT